

# Compiler Construction

## Lecture 6: Syntactic Analysis II ( $LL(k)$ Grammars)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)

RWTH Aachen University

[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/cc08/>

Summer semester 2008

- 1 Repetition: Top-Down Parsing of Context-Free Languages
- 2 Adding Lookahead
- 3  $LL(k)$  Grammars

Problem (Word problem for context-free languages)

*Given  $G \in \text{CFG}_{\Sigma}$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , decide whether  $w \in L(G)$  (and determine a corresponding syntax tree).*

- Decidable for arbitrary CFGs (in Chomsky Normal Form) using the **tabular method by Cocke, Younger, and Kasami** (“CYK Algorithm”; space/time complexity  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^2)/\mathcal{O}(|w|^3)$ )
- **Goal:** exploit the special syntactic structures as present in programming languages (usually: no ambiguities) to devise parsing methods which are based on **deterministic pushdown automata with linear space and time complexity**

**Two approaches:**

Top-down parsing: construction of syntax tree from the **root** towards the **leafs**, representation as **leftmost derivation**

Bottom-up parsing: construction of syntax tree from the **leafs** towards the **root**, representation as (reversed) **rightmost derivation**

## Approach:

- ① Given  $G \in CFG_{\Sigma}$ , construct a **nondeterministic pushdown automaton** (PDA) which accepts  $L(G)$  and which additionally computes corresponding leftmost derivations (similar to the proof of " $L(CFG_{\Sigma}) \subseteq L(PDA_{\Sigma})$ ")
  - input alphabet:  $\Sigma$
  - pushdown alphabet:  $X$
  - output alphabet:  $[p]$
  - state set: omitted
- ② Remove nondeterminism by allowing **lookahead** on the input:  
 $G \in LL(k)$  iff  $L(G)$  recognizable by deterministic PDA with lookahead of  $k$  symbols

## Definition (Nondeterministic top-down parsing automaton)

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_{\Sigma}$ . The **nondeterministic top-down parsing automaton** of  $G$ ,  $NTA(G)$ , is defined by the following components.

- **Input alphabet:**  $\Sigma$
- **Pushdown alphabet:**  $X$
- **Output alphabet:**  $[p]$
- **Configurations:**  $\Sigma^* \times X^* \times [p]^*$  (top of pushdown to the left)
- **Transitions** for  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\alpha \in X^*$ , and  $z \in [p]^*$ :
  - expansion steps: if  $\pi(i) = A \rightarrow \beta$ , then  $(w, A\alpha, z) \vdash (w, \beta\alpha, zi)$
  - matching steps: for every  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $(aw, a\alpha, z) \vdash (w, \alpha, z)$
- **Initial configuration** for  $w \in \Sigma^*$ :  $(w, S, \varepsilon)$
- **Final configurations**:  $\{\varepsilon\} \times \{\varepsilon\} \times [p]^*$

**Remark:**  $NTA(G)$  is nondeterministic iff  $G$  contains  $A \rightarrow \beta \mid \gamma$

## Theorem (Correctness of NTA( $G$ ))

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_{\Sigma}$  and NTA( $G$ ) as before. Then, for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and  $z \in [p]^*$ ,

$$(w, S, \varepsilon) \vdash^* (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z) \quad \text{iff} \quad z \text{ is a leftmost analysis of } w$$

## Proof.

$\implies$  (soundness): see exercises

$\impliedby$  (completeness): on the board



- 1 Repetition: Top-Down Parsing of Context-Free Languages
- 2 Adding Lookahead
- 3  $LL(k)$  Grammars

**Goal:** resolve nondeterminism of  $\text{NTA}(G)$  by supporting **lookahead of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  symbols** on the input  
⇒ determination of expanding  $A$ -production by next  $k$  symbols

**Goal:** resolve nondeterminism of  $NTA(G)$  by supporting **lookahead of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  symbols** on the input  
⇒ determination of expanding  $A$ -production by next  $k$  symbols

## Definition 6.1 ( $\text{first}_k$ set)

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha \in X^*$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the  **$\text{first}_k$  set** of  $\alpha$ ,  $\text{first}_k(\alpha) \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first}_k(\alpha) := & \{v \in \Sigma^k \mid \text{ex. } w \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that } \alpha \Rightarrow^* vw\} \cup \\ & \{v \in \Sigma^{<k} \mid \alpha \Rightarrow^* v\} \end{aligned}$$

## Lemma 6.2

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in \text{CFG}_\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in X^*$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- ①  $\text{first}_k(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$
- ②  $\varepsilon \in \text{first}_k(\alpha)$  iff  $k = 0$  or  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \varepsilon$
- ③  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta \implies \text{first}_k(\beta) \subseteq \text{first}_k(\alpha)$
- ④  $v \in \text{first}_k(\alpha)$  iff ex.  $w \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* w$  and  $\text{first}_k(w) = \{v\}$

## Lemma 6.2

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in \text{CFG}_\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in X^*$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- ①  $\text{first}_k(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$
- ②  $\varepsilon \in \text{first}_k(\alpha)$  iff  $k = 0$  or  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \varepsilon$
- ③  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta \implies \text{first}_k(\beta) \subseteq \text{first}_k(\alpha)$
- ④  $v \in \text{first}_k(\alpha)$  iff ex.  $w \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* w$  and  $\text{first}_k(w) = \{v\}$

Proof.

on the board



- 1 Repetition: Top-Down Parsing of Context-Free Languages
- 2 Adding Lookahead
- 3  $LL(k)$  Grammars

$LL(k)$ : reading of input from left to right with  $k$ -lookahead, computing a leftmost analysis

$LL(k)$ : reading of input from left to right with  $k$ -lookahead, computing a leftmost analysis

## Definition 6.3 ( $LL(k)$ grammar)

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_{\Sigma}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $G$  has the  $LL(k)$  property (notation:  $G \in LL(k)$ ) if for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_l^* wA\alpha \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \Rightarrow_l^* wx \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \Rightarrow_l^* wy \end{cases}$$

such that  $\text{first}_k(x) = \text{first}_k(y)$ , it follows that  $\beta = \gamma$  (i.e., the same production is applied to  $A$ ).

$LL(k)$ : reading of input from left to right with  $k$ -lookahead, computing a leftmost analysis

## Definition 6.3 ( $LL(k)$ grammar)

Let  $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle \in CFG_\Sigma$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $G$  has the  $LL(k)$  property (notation:  $G \in LL(k)$ ) if for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_l^* wA\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \Rightarrow_l^* wx \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \Rightarrow_l^* wy \end{array} \right.$$

such that  $\text{first}_k(x) = \text{first}_k(y)$ , it follows that  $\beta = \gamma$  (i.e., the same production is applied to  $A$ ).

## Remarks:

- If  $G \in LL(k)$ , then the leftmost derivation step for  $wA\alpha$  in the above diagram is determined by the next  $k$  symbols following  $w$ .
- Problem: how to determine the  $A$ -production from the lookahead (potentially infinitely many derivations to  $wx/wy$ )?

Lemma 6.4 (Characterization of  $LL(k)$ )

$G \in LL(k)$  iff for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_l^* wA\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \end{array} \right.$$

such that  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , it follows that  $\text{first}_k(\beta\alpha) \cap \text{first}_k(\gamma\alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Lemma 6.4 (Characterization of  $LL(k)$ )

$G \in LL(k)$  iff for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_l^* wA\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \end{array} \right.$$

such that  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , it follows that  $\text{first}_k(\beta\alpha) \cap \text{first}_k(\gamma\alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Proof.

on the board



Lemma 6.4 (Characterization of  $LL(k)$ )

$G \in LL(k)$  iff for all leftmost derivations of the form

$$S \Rightarrow_l^* wA\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow_l w\beta\alpha \\ \Rightarrow_l w\gamma\alpha \end{array} \right.$$

such that  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , it follows that  $\text{first}_k(\beta\alpha) \cap \text{first}_k(\gamma\alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Proof.

on the board □

**Remarks:**

- If  $G \in LL(k)$ , then the  $A$ -production is determined by the lookahead sets  $\text{first}_k(\beta\alpha)$  (for every  $A \rightarrow \beta \in P$ ).
- Problem: still infinitely many rightmost contexts  $\alpha$  to be considered (if  $\beta$  “too short”, i.e.,  $\text{first}_k(\beta\alpha) \neq \text{first}_k(\beta)$ ).