

## Errata "Principles of Model Checking" (July 2013)

Thanks to the Model Checking Reading Club at the Radboud University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands (in particular David N. Jansen and Frits W. Vaandrager), Holger Hermanns and Moritz Hahn (Saarland University), Dave Parker (Oxford University, UK), Jeroen Ketema and Mark Timmer (University of Twente, NL), Stephen Siegel (University of Delaware, USA), René Thiemann (U. Innsbruck, AT), Martin Lange (University of Kassel and LMU, D), Ahmed Khademzadeh (Azad University of Mashhad, Iran), Moti Ben-Ari (Weizmann Institute, ISR), Verena Wolf (Saarland University), Prakash Panangaden (McGill, Canada), Andrzej Zbrzezny (Jan Dlugosz University), Tobias Schmit-Lechner (U. Freiburg), Stefan Leue (U. Konstanz), Johann Schuster (Uni Bundeswehr, Munich), Chris J. Myers (University of Utah, USA), Erika Abraham, Christian Dehnert, Alexander Nyßen, Daniel Weber and Martin Zimmermann (RWTH Aachen University), Michael Ummels (ENS Cachan, France), Erik Wognsen (Aalborg University, Denmark) and the students at the RWTH Aachen University attending the "(Advanced) Model Checking" course.

Comments are provided as:

$\langle$  page number  $\rangle$   $\langle$  line number  $\rangle$   $\langle$  short quote of the wrong word(s)  $\rangle \triangleright \langle$  correction  $\rangle$

Line number  $-n$  means line number  $n$  from the last line on that page.

### Chapter 1: System Verification

pp. 1, l. -5, *Pentium II*  $\triangleright$  Pentium

pp. 5, l. 9, *lines of code lines*  $\triangleright$  lines of code

pp. 5, l. footnote, *much higher*  $\triangleright$  as the number of lines of code in the "golden" version of Windows95 is about 15 million, the error rate is in fact lower than normal.

pp. 6, l. 4, *Pentium II*  $\triangleright$  Pentium

### Chapter 2: Modeling Concurrent Systems

pp. 20, l. 8, *arabic*  $\triangleright$  Latin

pp. 25, l. 11, *heading Example 2.8*  $\triangleright$  Execution fragments of the Beverage Vending Machine

pp. 27, l. -15, *function*  $\lambda_y$   $\triangleright$  The function  $\lambda_y$  has no impact on the transitions (as suggested), but only affects the state labeling.

pp. 31, l. Fig. 2.3, *beer, soda*  $\triangleright$  *bget* and *sget*, respectively

pp. 31, l. Fig. 2.3, *state with 1 beer, 2 soda*  $\triangleright$  the grey circle should be a white circle.

pp. 34, l. 2,  $\langle \ell, v \rangle$   $\triangleright$   $\langle \ell, \eta \rangle$

pp. 40, l. Def. 2.21,  $Effect(\alpha, \eta) = Effect_i(\alpha, \eta)$   $\triangleright$   $Effect(\alpha, \eta)(v) = \begin{cases} Effect_i(\alpha, \eta|_{Var_i})(v) & \text{if } v \in Var_i \\ \eta(v) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

pp. 42, l. -10, *interlock*  $\triangleright$  *interleave*

pp. 46, l. Fig. 2.9, *locations in PG<sub>2</sub>*  $\triangleright$  should be subscripted with 2 (rather than 1)

pp. 48, l. -1,  $H = Act_1 \cap Act_2$   $\triangleright$   $H = (Act_1 \cap Act_2) \setminus \{\tau\}$

pp. 51, l. Fig. 2.12,  $T_1 \parallel T_2$   $\triangleright$   $TS_1 \parallel TS_2$  (this occurs twice)

pp. 51, l. Fig. 2.12,  $\triangleright$  All downgoing transitions should be labeled with *request*, and all upgoing ones with *release*

pp. 51, l. -7, *all trains*  $\triangleright$  the train

pp. 52, l. 3, *(above)*  $\triangleright$  (page 54)

pp. 53, l. -1, *finite set of channels*  $\triangleright$  set of channels

pp. 54, l. Fig. 2.16, *the transition labeled approach emanating from state  $\langle far, 3, down \rangle$*   $\triangleright$  should be removed, and all the states that thus become unreachable

pp. 54, l. Fig. 2.16, *the transition labeled exit emanating from state  $\langle in, 1, up \rangle$*   $\triangleright$  should be removed, and all the states that thus become unreachable

pp. 55, l. -10,  $(Cond(Var) \times$   $\triangleright$   $Cond(Var) \times$

pp. 60, l. Definition 2.33,  $\uplus$  (*occurs four times*)  $\triangleright$   $\cup$

pp. 62, l. -3, *gen\_msg(1)*  $\triangleright$  *snd\_msg(1)*

pp. 62, l. 4, *ack*  $\triangleright$  message

pp. 65, l. Fig. 2.21, *second do*  $\triangleright$  **od**

pp. 66, l. 8, *Statements build*  $\triangleright$  Statements built

pp. 71, l. 15, *label in conclusion of inference rule c!e*  $\triangleright$  it is meant that the value of expression *e* is transferred; cf. Exercise 2.8, pp. 85

pp. 74, l. 1,  $\xi[c := v_2 \dots v_k]$   $\triangleright$   $\xi' = \xi[c := v_2 \dots v_k]$

pp. 74, l. 1,  $\xi[c := v_1 \dots v_k v]$   $\triangleright$   $\xi' = \xi[c := v_1 \dots v_k v]$

- pp. 76, l. Figure 2.23 (top),  $x \triangleright x'$   
 pp. 78, l. 8,  $800,000 \cdot 2^{50} \triangleright 8,000,000 \cdot 2^{50}$   
 pp. 79, l. -6,-8,  $|dom(c)|^{cp(c)} \triangleright |dom(c)|^{cap(c)}$   
 pp. 82, l. Exercise 2.2, line 2,  $P_i is \triangleright P_i$  is

## Chapter 3: Linear-Time Properties

- pp. 89, l. 9, *parallel systems*  $\triangleright$  reactive systems  
 pp. 90, l. 1, *Fault Designed Traffic Lights*  $\triangleright$  Faulty Traffic Lights  
 pp. 91, l. 7, *a deadlock occurs when all philosophers*  $\triangleright$  a deadlock may occur when all philosophers  
 pp. 92, l. Fig. 3.2, *request and release*  $\triangleright$  req and rel  
 pp. 92, l. 6, *request<sub>4</sub>*  $\triangleright$  req<sub>4,4</sub>; similar to the other request actions  
 pp. 93, l. -4,-5 and Fig. 3.3, Fig. 3.4, *state available<sub>i</sub>*  $\triangleright$  available<sub>i,i</sub>  
 pp. 93, l. -4,-5 and Fig. 3.3, Fig. 3.4, *state available<sub>i+1</sub>*  $\triangleright$  available<sub>i,i+1</sub>  
 pp. 93, l. 10, *The corresponding is*  $\triangleright$  The corresponding condition is  
 pp. 94, l. Fig. 3.4, *falls x<sub>i</sub>*  $\triangleright$  x<sub>i</sub>  
 pp. 96, l. 3, *finite paths*  $\triangleright$  finite path fragments  
 pp. 96, l. 4, *infinite path*  $\triangleright$  infinite path fragment  
 pp. 98, l. 1, *Trace and Trace Fragment*  $\triangleright$  Trace  
 pp. 100, l. 9, *(over AP)*  $\triangleright$  (over  $2^{AP}$ )  
 pp. 101, l. -3, *red<sub>1</sub> green<sub>2</sub>*  $\triangleright$  red<sub>1</sub>, green<sub>2</sub>  
 pp. 103, l. 11, *lwait<sub>i</sub>*  $\triangleright$  wait<sub>i</sub>  
 pp. 103, l. 11,  $\exists k \geq j. wait_i \in A_k \triangleright \exists k > j. crit_i \in A_k$   
 pp. 105, l. Example 3.16, line 4,  $\langle wait_1, wait_2, y = 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle wait_1, crit_2, y = 0 \rangle \triangleright \langle w_1, w_2, y = 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle w_1, c_2, y = 0 \rangle$   
 pp. 111, l. Theorem 3.21,  $M = \sum_{s \in S} |Post(s)| \triangleright M = \sum_{s \in Reach(TS)} |Post(s)|$   
 pp. 111, l. 22, *The time needed to check  $s \models \Phi$  is linear in the length of  $\Phi$*   $\triangleright$  Add: This implicitly assumes that  $a \in L(s)$  can be checked in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  time.  
 pp. 112, l. -2,  $\triangleright$  A minimal bad prefix is one such that the first occurrence of  $\Phi$  is the last symbol in the word.

- pp. 113, l. Figure 3.9,  $s_0 \xrightarrow{\text{yellow}} s_1 \triangleright s_0 \xrightarrow{\text{yellow} \wedge \neg \text{red}} s_1$
- pp. 115, l. Lemma 3.27, *Proof*  $\triangleright$  add the following sentence to the beginning of the proof: First note that for  $P = (2^{AP})^\omega$  the claim trivially holds, since  $\text{closure}(P) = P$  and the fact that  $P$  is a safety property since  $\overline{P}$  is empty. In the remainder of the proof we consider  $P \neq (2^{AP})^\omega$ .
- pp. 117, l. -3, *for any transition system TS.*  $\triangleright$  for any transition system  $TS$  without terminal states.
- pp. 118, l. 10,11,  $\pi^{m_0}\pi^{m_1}\pi^{m_2} \dots$  of  $\pi^0\pi^1\pi^2 \dots$  such that  $\triangleright \pi^{m_0}, \pi^{m_1}, \pi^{m_2}, \dots$  of  $\pi^0, \pi^1, \pi^2, \dots$  such that
- pp. 124, l. -3, *By definition*  $\triangleright$  By Lemma 3.27
- pp. 130, l. 3, *without being taken beyond*  $\triangleright$  without being taken infinitely often beyond
- pp. 131, l. 17, *assignment  $x = -1$*   $\triangleright$  assignment  $x := -1$
- pp. 132, l. 2, *an execution fragment ... but not strongly A-fair.*  $\triangleright$  an execution fragment that visits infinitely many states in which no  $A$ -action is enabled is weakly  $A$ -fair (as the premise of weak  $A$ -fairness does not hold) but may not be strongly  $A$ -fair.
- pp. 134, l. 10, *any finite trace is fair by default*  $\triangleright$  any finite trace is strongly or weakly fair by default
- pp. 136, l. -5, *strong fairness property*  $\triangleright$  fairness property
- pp. 138, l. 4, *It forces synchronization actions to happen infinitely often.*  $\triangleright$  It forces synchronization actions to happen infinitely often provided they are enabled infinitely often.
- pp. 138, l. 9, *(3.1) does not permit this.*  $\triangleright$  (3.1) does not permit this (except if  $\text{Syn}_{i,j}$  is a singleton set).
- pp. 138, l. -14, *This requires that ... is enabled.*  $\triangleright$  This requires that infinitely often a synchronization takes place when such synchronization is infinitely often enabled.
- pp. 138, l. -2, *Weak fairness is appropriate for the internal actions  $\alpha \in \text{Act}_i \setminus \text{Syn}_i$ , as the ability to perform an internal action is preserved until it will be executed.*  $\triangleright$  should be deleted
- pp. 141, l. 5, *the set of properties that has*  $\triangleright$  the property that has
- pp. 145, l. Exercise 3.5(g), *between zero and two*  $\triangleright$  between zero and non-zero

## Chapter 4: Regular Properties

- pp. 152, l. 2, *an alphabet*  $\triangleright$  a finite alphabet

- pp. 157, l. -11,  $w = A_1 \dots A_n \in \Sigma \triangleright w = A_1 \dots A_n \in \Sigma^*$
- pp. 157, l. -10, *starts in  $Q_0$*   $\triangleright$  starts in state  $Q_0$
- pp. 157, l. -4,  $Q_0 \triangleright \{Q_0\}$
- pp. 158, l. -14, *NFAs can be much more efficient.*  $\triangleright$  NFAs can be much smaller.
- pp. 161, l. -9, *(2) ... for all  $1 \leq i < n$*   $\triangleright$  ... for all  $0 \leq i < n$ . (Note: the invariant false has minimal bad prefix  $\varepsilon$ .)
- pp. 161, l. -8,  $1 \leq i < n \triangleright 0 \leq i < n$
- pp. 163, l. Example 4.15, *Minimal bad prefixes for this safety property constitute the language  $\{pay^n drink^{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\}$*   $\triangleright$  Bad prefixes for this safety property constitute the language  $\{\sigma \in (2^{\{pay, drink\}})^* \mid w(\sigma, drink) > w(\sigma, pay)\}$  where  $w(\sigma, a)$  denotes the number of occurrences of  $a$  in  $\sigma$ .
- pp. 164, l. 5,6, *two NFAs intersect.*  $\triangleright$  the languages of two NFAs intersect.
- pp. 164, l. -8, *path fragment  $\pi$*   $\triangleright$  initial path fragment  $\pi$
- pp. 164, l. -6,  *$TS \otimes \mathcal{A}$  which has an initial state*  $\triangleright$   $TS \otimes \mathcal{A}$  such that there exists an initial state
- pp. 167, l. 7, 11, -4,  $P_{inv(A)} \triangleright P_{inv(\mathcal{A})}$
- pp. 167, l. -2,  $q_1, \dots, q_n \notin F \triangleright$  Note: this condition is not necessary.
- pp. 168, l. 1,  $0 \leq i \leq n \triangleright 0 < i \leq n$
- pp. 169, l. Theorem 4.22,  $|TS| \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \triangleright |TS \otimes \mathcal{A}|$
- pp. 171, l. 8, *single word*  $\triangleright$  a set containing a single word
- pp. 171, l. 11, *in  $\Sigma$*   $\triangleright$  in  $\mathcal{I}$
- pp. 177, l. -7, *Example 4.13 on page 161*  $\triangleright$  Example 4.14 on page 162
- pp. 183, l. -3, -1,  $\mathcal{L}_{q_1 q_3} = \dots \triangleright \mathcal{L}_{q_1 q_3} = C^* AB(B + BC^* AB)^*$
- pp. 188, l. -2, *containing a  $b$*   $\triangleright$  containing only a  $b$
- pp. 191, l. -5, *no accepting run that starts in  $q_2$*   $\triangleright$  no accepting run that reaches  $q_2$
- pp. 196, l. Example 4.57, *page 193*  $\triangleright$  page 194
- pp. 200, l. -7,  $\bigwedge_{q \in Q} \triangleright \bigwedge_{q \in F}$
- pp. 202, l. Fig. 4.22,  $\triangleright$  The two states should be labeled  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , respectively
- pp. 203, l. 4,  $\overline{P} = \text{"eventually forever"} \neg \text{green} \triangleright P = \text{infinitely often green}$
- pp. 206, l. Proof:,  $TS = (S, Act, \rightarrow, I, AP) \triangleright TS = (S, Act, \rightarrow, I, AP, L)$
- pp. 207, l. -4, *We now DFS-based cycle checks ... checking*  $\triangleright$  We now present a DFS-based algorithm for persistence checking that searches backwards edges to check for cycles.
- pp. 212, l. 6, *ignores all states in  $T$*   $\triangleright$  does not revisit the states in  $T$

pp. 215, l. Figure,  $t \in R_{in} \triangleright t \in T$

pp. 218, l. 10, *Regula*  $r \triangleright$  Regular

## Chapter 5: Linear Temporal Logic

pp. 230, l. 5, *eventually in the future*  $\triangleright$  now or eventually in the future

pp. 236, l. Figure 5.2,  $\triangleright$  It is assumed that  $\sigma = A_0A_1A_2 \dots$

pp. 240, l. -10,  $\delta_{r_2} = \neg r_1 \triangleright \delta_{r_2} = \neg r_2$

pp. 241, l. Fig. 5.6,  $\triangleright$  Note that the inputs of the  $r$  registers are on the right, and their outputs on the left.

pp. 243, l. -1,  $\Box \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} leader_i \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} \neg leader_j \right) \triangleright \Box \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( leader_i \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} \neg leader_j \right)$

pp. 244, l. 7,  $\Box \Diamond \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} leader_i \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} \neg leader_j \right) \triangleright \Box \Diamond \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( leader_i \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} \neg leader_j \right)$

pp. 256, l. -3,  $(\sigma[i..] \models \varphi) \wedge \forall k \leq i. \sigma[k..] \models \psi \triangleright (\sigma[i..] \models \varphi \wedge \forall k \leq i. \sigma[k..] \models \psi)$

pp. 263, l. 20,  $Act' = Act \uplus \{begin\} \triangleright Act' = Act$  with  $begin \notin Act$

pp. 266, l. -8, *interlocked*  $\triangleright$  interleaved

pp. 267, l. 7, *as soon as*  $\triangleright$  before

pp. 270, l. Fig. 5.15,  $\triangleright$  The bottom cell should be white and not gray.

pp. 276, l. -11,  $\psi \in B$  if and only if  $\dots \triangleright \psi \in B$  if and only if  $\dots$

pp. 278, l. Proof of Theorem 5.37,  $\triangleright$  It is assumed that  $\sigma = A_0A_1A_2 \dots$  is such that  $A_i \subseteq \text{closure}(\varphi)$ , i.e.,  $A_i = B_i \cap AP$  means  $A_i \cap \text{closure}(\varphi) = B_i \cap AP$

pp. 281, l. 1-5, *For  $B_0B_1B_2 \dots$  a sequence  $\dots$  we have for all  $\psi \in \text{closure}(\varphi)$ :  $\psi \in B_0 \Leftrightarrow A_0A_1A_2 \dots \models \psi$*   $\triangleright$  For all  $\psi \in \text{closure}(\varphi)$  and  $B_0B_1B_2 \dots$  a sequence  $\dots$  we have:  $\psi \in B_0 \Leftrightarrow A_0A_1A_2 \dots \models \psi$

pp. 283, l. 10,  $\neq \bigcirc \psi \in B$  if and  $\dots \triangleright \neg \bigcirc \psi \in B$  if and  $\dots$

pp. 283, l. 17, *and  $\varphi = \bigcirc a \in B_1, B_2$*   $\triangleright$  and  $\varphi = a \in B_1, B_2$

pp. 284, l. -14,  $B_3B_3B_1B_4^\omega \triangleright B_3B_3B_1B_5^\omega$

pp. 287, l. -5,  $|\neg(fair \rightarrow \varphi)| = |fair| + |\varphi| \triangleright |\neg(fair \rightarrow \varphi)| = |\neg(\neg fair \vee \varphi)| = |fair| + |\varphi| + 3$

pp. 289, l. 11, *a new vertex  $b$  to  $G$*   $\triangleright$  a new vertex  $b$  to  $TS$

pp. 292, l. Figure 5.23,  $\triangleright$  the self-loop at state  $P(n)$  should be omitted

- pp. 292, l. -1,  $\bigcirc^{2i-1}(q, A, i) \rightarrow \triangleright \text{begin} \wedge \bigcirc^{2i-1}(q, A, i) \rightarrow$   
 pp. 294, l. -6,  $\mathcal{G}_{varphi} \triangleright \mathcal{G}_\varphi$   
 pp. 297, l. 7, *Membership to*  $\triangleright$  Membership in  
 pp. 303, l. Exercise 5.7(b),  $W \triangleright Y$  (to avoid confusion with unless)  
 pp. 303, l. Exercise 5.8(a),  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \triangleright \varphi_1 R \varphi_2$

## Chapter 6: Computation Tree Logic

- pp. 318, l. -10,  $\wedge, \rightarrow \triangleright \vee, \rightarrow$   
 pp. 320, l. -4, *state formula*  $\triangleright$  State formula  
 pp. 327, l. -12, *since*  $\exists(\varphi \mathbf{U} \psi \vee \Box \varphi) \triangleright$  since  $\forall(\varphi \mathbf{U} \psi \vee \Box \varphi)$   
 pp. 333, l. 10,  $\neg \exists \Diamond \neg \Phi = \neg \exists(\text{true} \mathbf{U} \Phi) \triangleright \neg \exists \Diamond \neg \Phi \equiv \neg \exists(\text{true} \mathbf{U} \neg \Phi)$   
 pp. 336, l. 11, *CTL formulae*  $\exists \Diamond(a \wedge \forall \bigcirc a)$  and  $\Diamond(a \wedge \bigcirc a) \triangleright$  CTL formula  $\exists \Diamond(a \wedge \forall \bigcirc a)$   
 and LTL formula  $\Diamond(a \wedge \bigcirc a)$   
 pp. 338, l. 5,  $TS_n = (S'_n, \dots \triangleright TS'_n = (S'_n, \dots$   
 pp. 338, l. -5 and -6,  $\triangleright$  transitions to  $s'_{n-1}$  are non-existing for  $n=0$   
 pp. 340, l. -10, *and*  $\varphi = \forall \Diamond \exists \Diamond a \triangleright$  and  $\varphi = \forall \Box \exists \Diamond a$   
 pp. 342, l. Algorithm 13, and -8 and -4, *maximal genuine*  $\triangleright$  maximal proper  
 pp. 343, l. 4, *subformula of*  $\Psi \triangleright$  subformula of  $\Psi'$   
 pp. 345, l. -2,  $Sat(\exists(\Phi \mathbf{U} \Psi)) \triangleright Sat(\exists(\Phi \mathbf{U} \Psi))$   
 pp. 345, l. proof of (g)(ii), *Let*  $\pi = s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots$  *be a path starting in*  $s=s_0$ . *(As*  $TS$  *has no terminal states, such a path exists.)*  $\triangleright$  Delete.  
 pp. 349, l. -9, -7,  $(a = c) \wedge (a \neq b) \triangleright (a \leftrightarrow c) \wedge (a \not\leftrightarrow b)$   
 pp. 349, l. -8, *Algorithm 14 (see page 348)*  $\triangleright$  Algorithm 15  
 pp. 351, l. Algorithm 15,  $\triangleright$  comments in the first two lines of algorithm need to be swapped while replacing  $E$  by  $T$  and  $T$  by  $E$   
 pp. 354, l. Example 6.28, *see the gray states in Figure 6.13(a).*  $\triangleright$  cf. Figure 6.13(b).  
 pp. 354, l. Example 6.28, *Figure 6.13(b), Figure 6.13(c)*  $\triangleright$  Figure 6.13(c), Figure 6.13(d)  
 pp. 358, l. 11,  $\triangleright$  Note that the length of  $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{O}(n!)$ .  
 pp. 361, l. Example 6.35,  $\Rightarrow$  *in the formulas*  $\triangleright \rightarrow$   
 pp. 371, l. -6, *ifstatement*  $\triangleright$  if statement

- pp. 372, l. Algorithm 19, line 4,  $C \cap \text{Sat}(b_j) \neq \emptyset \triangleright C \cap \text{Sat}(b_i) \neq \emptyset$
- pp. 374, l. 1, *path formula of the form*  $\exists\varphi \triangleright$  *state formula of the form*  $\exists\varphi$
- pp. 374, l. 6, *counterexamples*  $\triangleright$  *counterexamples*
- pp. 378, l. -6, *Eaxmple*  $\triangleright$  *Example*
- pp. 380, l. 12,  $(a \wedge a') \cup (\neg a \wedge \neg a' \wedge a_{fair}) \triangleright (a \wedge \neg a') \cup (\neg a \wedge \neg a' \wedge a_{fair})$
- pp. 381, l. 9,  $\Box \Diamond (q \wedge r) \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg(q \vee r) \triangleright \Box \Diamond (a \wedge b) \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg(a \vee b)$
- pp. 381, l. 9 and 12,  $b = c \triangleright b \leftrightarrow c$
- pp. 383, l. 12,  $0 \leq n \leq m \leq k \triangleright 1 \leq n \leq m \leq k$
- pp. 383, l. 9 and 10,  $\dots z_m \triangleright \dots, z_m$
- pp. 386, l. 13, 15 (twice) and 19,  $s\{\bar{y} \leftarrow \bar{z}\} \triangleright s\{\bar{z} \leftarrow \bar{y}\}$
- pp. 387, l. 18,  $t\{\bar{x}/\bar{x}'\} \triangleright t\{\bar{x}' \leftarrow \bar{x}\}$
- pp. 388, l. 7,  $x' \triangleright x'_1$
- pp. 388, l. 7,  $\bigwedge_{j < i \leq n} (x_j \leftrightarrow x'_j) \triangleright \bigwedge_{i+1 < j \leq n} (x_j \leftrightarrow x'_j)$
- pp. 388, l. 7-8,  $\triangleright$  add conjunct  $\wedge \left( \neg x_1 \rightarrow x'_1 \wedge \bigwedge_{1 < j \leq n} (x_j \leftrightarrow x'_j) \right)$
- pp. 388, l. 9,  $\chi_B(\bar{x}) = x_1 \triangleright \chi_B(\bar{x}) = \neg x_1$
- pp. 388, l. 14-17,  $\triangleright$   $x$  and  $x'$  should be swapped
- pp. 388, l. Example 6.58 (four times),  $\{x \leftarrow x'\} \triangleright \{x' \leftarrow x\}$
- pp. 389, l. 9,  $\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Delta_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}'_i) \triangleright \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq m} \Delta_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}'_i)$
- pp. 389, l. 16,  $\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq m} \triangleright \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq m}$
- pp. 390, l. 8,  $\exists s' \in Ss.t.s' \in \text{Post}(s) \triangleright \exists s' \in S. s' \in \text{Post}(s)$
- pp. 390, l. Algorithm 20, line 4,  $f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) := f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) \vee \dots \triangleright f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) := f_j(\bar{x}) \vee \dots$
- pp. 391, l. Algorithm 21, line 4,  $f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) := f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) \wedge \dots \triangleright f_{j+1}(\bar{x}) := f_j(\bar{x}) \wedge \dots$
- pp. 391, l. Algorithm 21, line 4, *return*  $\triangleright$  **return**
- pp. 391, l. 19, *can be ruled as*  $\triangleright$  *can be ruled out as*
- pp. 393, l. Figure 6.21 (right), *solid line between*  $z_3$  *and*  $0 \triangleright$  *dashed line between*  $z_3$  *and*  $0$
- pp. 395, l. 5, *or*  $i = j \triangleright$  *or*  $z_i = z_j$
- pp. 396, l. -15, *The semantics*  $\triangleright$  *The semantics of*
- pp. 396, l. -1,  $f_{\text{succ}_b(v)}|_{z=c} \triangleright f_{\text{succ}_b(v)}|_{z=b}$
- pp. 396, l. Def. 6.65, *for node*  $\triangleright$  *for node*  $v$
- pp. 398, l. 9, *left subtree*  $\triangleright$  *right subtree*



- pp. 400, l. -9,  $\langle \text{var}(v), \text{succ}_1(v), \text{succ}_0(v) \rangle = \langle \text{var}(w), \text{succ}_1(w), \text{succ}_0(w) \rangle \triangleright \text{var}(v) = \text{var}(w)$  and  $f_{\text{succ}_0(v)} = f_{\text{succ}_0(w)}$  and  $f_{\text{succ}_1(v)} = f_{\text{succ}_1(w)}$
- pp. 402, l. 6,  $f_v = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(v)}) \wedge (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(v)}) = (\neg z \wedge f_w) \wedge (z \wedge f_w) = f_w \triangleright f_v = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(v)}) \vee (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(v)}) = (\neg z \wedge f_w) \vee (z \wedge f_w) = f_w$
- pp. 402, l. 8,  $f_v = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(v)}) \wedge (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(v)}) = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(w)}) \wedge (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(w)}) = f_w \triangleright f_v = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(v)}) \vee (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(v)}) = (\neg z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_0(w)}) \vee (z \wedge f_{\text{succ}_1(w)}) = f_w$ .
- pp. 403, l. 10, *ismorphism*  $\triangleright$  isomorphism
- pp. 405, l. 2,  $z_m = a_m, z_m = b_m, \dots, z_i = a_i, z_i = b_i \triangleright z_m = a_m, y_m = b_m, \dots, z_i = a_i, y_i = b_i$
- pp. 405, l. 3,  $z_m = a_m, z_m = b_m, \dots, z_{i+1} = a_{i+1}, z_{i+1} = b_{i+1}, z_i = a_i \triangleright z_m = a_m, y_m = b_m, \dots, z_{i+1} = a_{i+1}, y_{i+1} = b_{i+1}, z_i = a_i$
- pp. 405, l. -5,  $I_{\bar{b}} = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \mid b_i = 1\} \triangleright I_{\bar{b}} = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \mid b_i = 1\}$
- pp. 405, l. -4, *As*  $f \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \{0, 1\}^m \triangleright \text{As } \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \{0, 1\}^m$
- pp. 409, l. -12,  $\text{info}(v) = \langle \text{var}(v), \text{succ}_0(v), \text{succ}_0(v) \rangle \triangleright \text{info}(v) = \langle \text{var}(v), \text{succ}_1(v), \text{succ}_0(v) \rangle$
- pp. 412, l. 7,  $u \triangleright v$
- pp. 412, l. Algorithm 22, line -4, *rule* (?)  $\triangleright$  rule
- pp. 413, l. 13,  $f_2 z_1 = b_1, \dots, z_i = b_i \triangleright f_2|_{z_1=b_1, \dots, z_i=b_i}$
- pp. 414, l. Algorithm 23, line -2, **return** *node*  $w \triangleright$  should be just before final **fi**
- pp. 417, l. heading Algorithm 24,  $(v, \bar{x} \leftarrow \bar{x}') \triangleright (v, \bar{x}' \leftarrow \bar{x})$
- pp. 417, l. Algorithm 24,  $\triangleright$  swap  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{x}'$
- pp. 417, l. Algorithm 24, line 4, *ist*  $\triangleright$  is a
- pp. 417, l. Algorithm 24,  $\triangleright$  replace  $z$  by  $x$  and  $u$  by  $v$
- pp. 418, l. -9,  $f\{x \leftarrow x'\} \triangleright f\{x' \leftarrow x\}$
- pp. 418, l. -6,  $f|_{x=\bar{b}} \triangleright f|_{x=b}$
- pp. 420, l. Algorithm 26, line 10 and 11,  $\bar{x}, \bar{x}')$ ;  $\triangleright$  );
- pp. 420, l. Algorithm 26, line 6,7,9,10,  $v|_{x_i=0}$  and  $v|_{x_i=1}$ , *respectively*  $\triangleright v|_{x'_i=0}$  and  $v|_{x'_i=1}$ , respectively
- pp. 420, l. Algorithm 26, line -5,  $w_0 := \text{RelProd}(u|_{x'_i=0}, v); w_1 := \text{RelProd}(u|_{x'_i=1}, v); \triangleright w_0 := \text{RelProd}(u|_{x'_i=0}, v|_{x'_i=0}); w_1 := \text{RelProd}(u|_{x'_i=1}, v|_{x'_i=1});$
- pp. 426, l. -1,  $\exists \Diamond(a \wedge \exists \Diamond b) \wedge \exists \Diamond(b \wedge \exists \Diamond a) \triangleright \exists \Diamond(a \wedge \exists \Diamond b) \vee \exists \Diamond(b \wedge \exists \Diamond a)$

## Chapter 7: Equivalences and Abstraction

- pp. 454, l. 3,  $Sssume \triangleright Assume$
- pp. 459, l. 7,  $[s]_{\sim} \xrightarrow{\tau} [s']_{\sim} \triangleright [s]_{\sim} \xrightarrow{\tau'} [s']_{\sim}$
- pp. 464, l. Figure 7.9, *arrows  $n_1 c_2$  to  $w_1 w_2$  and  $c_1 n_2$  to  $w_1 w_2$*   $\triangleright$  should be omitted
- pp. 466, l. 8,  $H = Act_1 \cap Act_2 \triangleright H = (Act_1 \cap Act_2) \setminus \{\tau\}$
- pp. 467, l. 8,  $Act = 2^{AP} \cup \{\tau\} \triangleright Act = 2^{AP}$
- pp. 467, l. 10,  $s \xrightarrow{\tau}_{act} t \triangleright s \xrightarrow{L(s)}_{act} t$
- pp. 469, l. Remark 7.19, line 10,  $s_2 \models \varphi$ , but  $s_1 \not\models \varphi \triangleright s_2 \not\models \neg\varphi$ , but  $s_1 \models \neg\varphi$
- pp. 475, l. Corollary 7.27 (c),  $\equiv_{CTL} \triangleright \equiv_{CTL^*}$
- pp. 478, l. 11,  $fo \triangleright of$
- pp. 489, l. Algorithm 32, line 6+7,  $\triangleright$  these lines need to be swapped
- pp. 489, l. Algorithm 32,  $\Pi_{old} := \Pi \triangleright \Pi_{old} := \Pi_{old} \setminus \{C'\} \cup \{C, C' \setminus C\}$
- pp. 512, l. Definition 7.65, 2nd clause,  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha} s'$  and  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha} s'' \triangleright s \rightarrow s'$  and  $s \rightarrow s''$
- pp. 513, l. 9,  $\{a\} \emptyset \notin Traces(TS_1) \triangleright \{a\} \emptyset \notin Traces(TS_2)$
- pp. 518, l. 8,  $\forall \Phi \in \forall CTL^* \triangleright \forall \Phi \in \forall CTL$
- pp. 519, l. -10, *fragment of  $CTL^*$*   $\triangleright$  fragment of CTL
- pp. 522, l. -4,  $|Post(s_2)| \triangleright |Post(s_2) \cap Sim(s'_1)|$
- pp. 528, l. -9,  $s_1 \in Pre(s'_2) \triangleright s_1 \in Pre(s'_1)$
- pp. 532, l. -1,  $(TS)2 \triangleright TS_2$
- pp. 537, l. -5,  $\langle c_2, n_1 \rangle \triangleright \langle n_1, c_2 \rangle$
- pp. 539, l. 2,  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $(S_1 \times S_2) \cup (S_1 \times S_2) \triangleright \mathcal{R}$  on  $TS_1 \oplus TS_2$
- pp. 541, l. Figure 7.36, *transition from  $\ell_3$  to  $\ell_4$*   $\triangleright$  should be deleted
- pp. 541, l. -2,  $\{(s, s') \mid s' \in [s]_{\mathcal{R}}, s \in S\} \triangleright \{(s, [s]_{\mathcal{R}}) \mid s \in S\}$
- pp. 542, l. 5,  $\langle c_2, n_1 \rangle \triangleright \langle n_1, c_2 \rangle$
- pp. 544, l. 11, *finer that*  $\triangleright$  finer than
- pp. 546, l. 13,  $s_2$  is  $\approx_{TS}^{div}$ -divergent whereas  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  are not.  $\triangleright s_2$  is not  $\approx_{TS}^{div}$ -divergent whereas  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  are.
- pp. 546, l. after Example 7.110, *where the state labelling is indicated by the grey scale*  $\triangleright$
- pp. 549, l. Definition 7.116, clause 2,  $\hat{\pi}_1 \triangleright \hat{\pi}_i$
- pp. 554, l. 8, *amounts*  $\triangleright$  amounts to

- pp. 556, l. Figure 7.45,  $v_1$  and  $v_2 \triangleright t_1$  and  $t_2$
- pp. 556, l. Figure 7.45 (rechts),  $s_1 \triangleright s_2$
- pp. 557, l. -8, *since  $s_2$  and  $u_2$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent*  $\triangleright$  since  $s_1$  and  $u_2$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent
- pp. 562, l. 1, *and  $s_1 \exists \varphi \triangleright$  and  $s_1 \models \exists \varphi$*
- pp. 563, l. 4,  $\Phi_B \cup \Phi_C$  is a  $CTL_{\setminus \bigcirc}$  formula  $\triangleright \exists(\Phi_B \cup \Phi_C)$  is a  $CTL_{\setminus \bigcirc}$  state-formula
- pp. 564, l. Figure 7.46, *the states  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  with self-loop*  $\triangleright$  should be included in the “chain”, and not be separate deadlock states
- pp. 566, l. 16,  $\ell_2 : \langle \text{if } (free > 0) \text{ then } i := 0; free-- \text{ fi} \rangle \triangleright \ell_2 : \langle \text{if } (free > 0) \text{ then } i := 0; free-- \text{ fi} \rangle ; \text{goto } \ell_0$
- pp. 566, l. -3,  $\langle \ell_0, \ell'_2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \ell_0, \ell'_0, 2, 0, 0 \rangle \triangleright \langle \ell_1, \ell'_2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \ell_1, \ell'_0, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$
- pp. 568, l. Definition 7.134, condition 1.,  $B \cap Pre(C) \neq \emptyset \triangleright B \cap Pre_{\Pi}^*(C) \neq \emptyset$
- pp. 569, l. Lemma 7.135 (ii),  $B \cap Pre(C) \neq \emptyset \triangleright B \cap Pre_{\Pi}^*(C) \neq \emptyset$
- pp. 569, l. 7, *there are some states in  $B$  that cannot reach  $C$  by only visiting states in  $B$ . For such states, the only possibility is to reach  $C$  via some other block  $D \neq B, C$ .*  $\triangleright C$  can only be reached via paths that entirely go through  $B$ .
- pp. 572, l. 11,  $t \in Exit(B) \triangleright t \in Bottom(B)$
- pp. 577, l. -2, *quotient space  $S/\cong$*   $\triangleright$  quotient space  $S/\cong^{div}$
- pp. 578, l. 4,  $E = \{ (s, t) \in S \times S \mid L(s) = L(t) \} \triangleright E = \{ (s, t) \in S \times S \mid L(s) = L(t) \wedge s \xrightarrow{\alpha} t \text{ for some } \alpha \in Act \}$
- pp. 578, l. item 3., *self-loops  $[s]_{div} \rightarrow [s]_{div}$*   $\triangleright$  self-loops  $[s] \rightarrow [s]$
- pp. 592, l. Exercise 7.29, item (B)(2),  $s_1, s'_1 \notin \mathcal{R} \triangleright s'_1, s_2 \notin \mathcal{R}$
- pp. 592, l. Exercise 7.29, item (c),  $TS_1 \sqcap \sqsubseteq TS_2 \triangleright TS_1 \not\sqsubseteq TS_2$

## Chapter 8: Partial-Order Reduction

- pp. 596, l. 19, *consists*  $\triangleright$  consists of
- pp. 597, l. 11, *of state space*  $\triangleright$  of the state space
- pp. 601, l. -11, *TS be action-deterministic*  $\triangleright$   $TS$  be an action-deterministic
- pp. 602, l. 5, *independent on*  $\triangleright$  independent of
- pp. 605, l.  $s_i = \alpha(t_i)$ ,  $t_i = \alpha(s_i)$   $\triangleright$  pp.  
, l.  $[, 1 \triangleright e$

x] 609(A2) If  $\alpha$  depends on  $\text{ample}(s)$  If  $\alpha \notin \alpha(s)$  depends on  $\alpha(s)$  pp. 610, l. 3, *all ample actions*  $\triangleright$  all actions

pp. 610, l. 4, *Note that for  $n=0$ , condition (A2) is false, as the existential quantification (over  $i$ ) ranges over an empty domain.*  $\triangleright$  Note that condition (A2) is false if there is an execution fragment  $s \xrightarrow{\alpha} t$  such that  $\alpha \notin \text{ample}(s)$  and  $\alpha$  depends on  $\text{ample}(s)$ . After all, in that case  $n = 0$  and the existential quantification (over  $i$ ) ranges over an empty domain. This observation will be formalized in Lemma 8.14.

pp. 610, l. 6, *any finite execution in TS*  $\triangleright$  any finite execution in  $TS$  ending after the first ample action

pp. 610, l. 14,  $s_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \dots$  with  $\beta_i$  independent of  $\text{ample}(s)$  for  $0 < i \leq n$   $\triangleright$   $s \xrightarrow{\beta_1} s_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \dots$  with  $\beta_i$  independent of  $\text{ample}(s)$  for  $0 < i$

pp. 611, l. (A2), *If  $\alpha$  depends on  $\text{ample}(s)$*   $\triangleright$  If  $\alpha \notin \alpha(s)$  depends on  $\alpha(s)$

pp. 611, l. 6, *cycle  $s_0 s_2 s_2$*   $\triangleright$  cycle  $s_2 s_2$

pp. 612, l. -8 and -10, *Reach(TS)*  $\triangleright$  *Reach( $\hat{TS}$ )*

pp. 613, l. Lemma 8.15, *then for all actions*  $\triangleright$  then all actions

pp. 613, l. Lemma 8.15, *addition*  $\triangleright$  addition

pp. 613, l. 8, *constraints (A1) and (A2)*  $\triangleright$  constraint (A2)

pp. 613, l. 10,  $\text{Act}(\beta(s_0)) = \text{Act}(s_1)$   $\triangleright$   $\text{Act}(\beta_1(s_0)) = \text{Act}(s_1)$

pp. 613, l. below Notation 8.16, *necessary*  $\triangleright$  almost sufficient

pp. 616, l. -9,  $\text{ample}(\langle s_0, t_i \rangle) = \{ \alpha_{i+1} \}$ , for  $i=1, 2, 3$   $\triangleright$   $\text{ample}(\langle s_0, t_i \rangle) = \{ \alpha_{i+1} \}$ , for  $i=0, 1, 2$

pp. 623, l. -10 and -4, *Section 5.2*  $\triangleright$  Section 4.4.2

pp. 624, l. 5, *Section 5.2*  $\triangleright$  Section 4.4.2

pp. 625, l. Algorithm 39, line 3,  $TS \models \Box \Phi$   $\triangleright$   $TS \models \Diamond \Box \Phi$

pp. 626, l. Algorithm 40, line 14,  $\text{push}(t, V)$   $\triangleright$  delete this line

pp. 629, l. -5,  $\varrho = s_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{trap}$   $\triangleright$   $\varrho = s_0 \rightarrow' \dots \rightarrow' t \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{trap}$

pp. 634, l. Algorithm 41, line 8,  $(\exists j \neq i. \text{Act}_i(s) \times \text{Act}_j(s) \cap D = \emptyset)$   $\triangleright$   $(\forall j \neq i. \text{Act}_i(s) \times \text{Act}_j(s) \cap D = \emptyset)$

pp. 645, l. -4 and pp. 646, line 2,  $n_1 \xrightarrow{x < N : x := x+1} \ell_1 \xrightarrow{b := \neg b} n_1 \triangleright n_1 \xrightarrow{x < N : x := x+1} \ell_1 \xrightarrow{b := \neg b} n_1$

pp. 646, l. Fig. 8.16 (right), *edge label  $\beta_2$  from  $\ell_2$  to  $n_2$*   $\triangleright$  edge label  $\gamma_2$  from  $\ell_2$  to  $n_2$

pp. 647, l. Fig. 8.18 (right), *edge label  $\beta_2$  from  $\ell_2$  to  $n_2$*   $\triangleright$  edge label  $\gamma_2$  from  $\ell_2$  to  $n_2$

- pp. 648, l. Algorithm 42, line 8,  $\bigcup_{j < k \leq n} Act_k \triangleright \bigcup_{i < k \leq n} Act_k$
- pp. 650, l. -4, *Figure 8.19 (left)*  $\triangleright$  Figure 8.19 (top)
- pp. 650, l. -1, *Figure 8.19 (right)*  $\triangleright$  Figure 8.19 (bottom)
- pp. 651, l. 5, *a-state in TS*  $\triangleright$  *a-state in  $\hat{TS}$*
- pp. 651, l. 12, *Since  $\hat{TS}$  does not contain  $s$*   $\triangleright$  Since  $\hat{TS}$  does not contain  $s_0$
- pp. 652, l. 10, *Figure 8.19 (left)*  $\triangleright$  Figure 8.19
- pp. 653, l. (A2), *If  $\alpha$  depends on  $ample(s)$*   $\triangleright$  If  $\alpha \notin \alpha(s)$  depends on  $\alpha(s)$
- pp. 654, l. -4, *Natural  $\nu_2(\dots)$*   $\triangleright$  Natural number  $\nu_2(\dots)$
- pp. 666, l. Exercise 8.6,  *$ample(s_9) = \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$*   $\triangleright$   *$ample(s_9) = \{\eta, \beta, \delta\}$*

## Chapter 9: Timed Automata

- pp. 674, l. -12, *is more an intuitive than*  $\triangleright$  is more intuitive than
- pp. 678, l. Definition 9.3, 5th bullet, *is a transition relation*  $\triangleright$  is a finite transition relation
- pp. 680, l. -1, *transition label  $true : x \geq 2, \{x\}$*   $\triangleright$  transition label  $x \geq 2 : \tau, \{x\}$
- pp. 681, l. Figure 9.6, *coming down and going up*  $\triangleright$  *comingdown and goingup*
- pp. 683, l. -9,  $\dots || TA_n \triangleright \dots ||_H TA_n$
- pp. 685, l. Figure 9.9,  $\langle far, 0, up \rangle \rightarrow \langle near, 1, up \rangle$ , *reset( $x, y$ )*  $\triangleright$  *reset( $z, y$ )*
- pp. 687, l. Definition 9.10, *clause for  $\neg g$*   $\triangleright$  should be omitted
- pp. 696, l. 2,  $\eta \not\models g_j$  or  $Inv(\ell_j)$   $\triangleright$   $\eta \not\models g_j$  or  $\eta \not\models Inv(\ell_j)$
- pp. 696, l. 12,  $\eta_{i-1} \triangleright \eta_{j-1}$  (this occurs twice!)
- pp. 696, l. proof of Lemma 9.24,  $\triangleright$  The variables  $i, j$  and  $x$  depend on the cycle in  $\pi$ . For the sake of simplicity, this dependency is not treated here.
- pp. 696, l. -5, *when going from location off to on*  $\triangleright$  when going from location *on* to *off*
- pp. 697, l. Notation 9.26, line 1, *infinitely many actions are*  $\triangleright$  infinitely many actions from *Act* are
- pp. 699, l. -4, *more than 2 minutes*  $\triangleright$  at least two minutes
- pp. 699, l. -3,  $\forall \Diamond^{>2} \neg on \triangleright \forall \Diamond^{\leq 2} \neg on$
- pp. 702, l. Figure 9.16,  $x > 3 : reset(x)$   $\triangleright$   $x \geq 3 : reset(x)$
- pp. 702, l. -5, *TCTL semantics*  $\triangleright$  TCTL semantics

- pp. 705, l. -12, *Remark 9.35*  $\triangleright$  Lemma 9.35
- pp. 707, l. -1,  $\pi \in s_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \triangleright \pi \in s_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots$
- pp. 709, l. -10, *of the form  $x \leq c$  or  $x < c$*   $\triangleright$  of the form  $x \leq c, x < c, x \geq c$  or  $x > c$
- pp. 710, l. -12, *Figure 9.18*)  $\triangleright$  Figure 9.18
- pp. 711, l. -2,  $\eta_1 \cong_2 \eta_2$  *iff*  $\eta_1 \cong_1 \eta_2$   $\triangleright$   $\eta \cong_2 \eta'$  *iff*  $\eta \cong_1 \eta'$
- pp. 713, l. Definition 9.42, line 3, *if and only if either*  $\triangleright$  if and only if either for all  $x \in C$  (in the two bullets the universal quantification over  $x$  needs to be deleted)
- pp. 716, l. -3, *constraint (C)*  $\triangleright$  constraint (C)
- pp. 717, l. Proof of Theorem 9.46, *open intervals like  $]0, 1[$*   $\triangleright$   $(0, 1)$
- pp. 725, l. 4, *delay transitions*  $\triangleright$  action transitions
- pp. 726, l. -3,  $\triangleright$  add: where  $I$  is the set of initial states of  $TS(TA)$
- pp. 730, l. 4,  $\forall \Diamond a$   $\triangleright$   $a \cup b$
- pp. 730, l. 19,  $\Diamond a$   $\triangleright$   $a \cup b$
- pp. 730, l. 21, *time-convergent*  $\triangleright$  time-divergent
- pp. 730, l. -4, *for  $i \leq j$*   $\triangleright$  for all  $i \leq j$
- pp. 730, l. -4,  $\pi \models_{TCTL} \Diamond a$   $\triangleright$   $\pi \models_{TCTL} a \cup b$
- pp. 731, l. Example 9.63, *with  $\eta(x) > 1$*   $\triangleright$  with  $\eta(x) = 2$
- pp. 732, l. 6, *several state regions*  $\triangleright$  several states
- pp. 740, l. Exercise 9.1, edge label at location *on*,  $x \geq 2 : sw\_on, reset(x)$   $\triangleright$   $x \geq 2 : switch\_on, reset(x)$

## Chapter 10: Probabilistic Systems

- pp. 749, l. Example 10.2, *senf off*  $\triangleright$  sent off
- pp. 753, l. Notation 10.6, l. 1,  $Post^*(s)$   $\triangleright$   $Post(s)$
- pp. 774, l. 3, *any successor of  $t$*   $\triangleright$  any state reachable from  $t$
- pp. 776, l. -3, *absorbing states*  $\triangleright$  states

pp. 778, l. 4,  $\mathbf{P}'(s, t) = \dots \triangleright$

$$\mathbf{P}'(s, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = t \text{ and } s \in B \cup S \setminus (C \cup B) \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq t \text{ and } s \in B \cup S \setminus (C \cup B) \\ \mathbf{P}(s, t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

pp. 778, l. -9,  $\{4, 5, 6, \text{won}\} \triangleright \{\text{won}\}$

pp. 780, l. 12, *it can be shown that*  $\Pr(n \models \Diamond 0) < 1 \triangleright$  it can be shown that  $\Pr(n \models \Diamond 0) > 0$

pp. 782, l. -3, *expresses in addition that almost surely the player will always win*  $\triangleright$  expresses that within five steps, the player reaches a state from which he will win almost surely

pp. 821, l. 13, *time complexity of the size*  $\triangleright$  time complexity in the size

pp. 851, l. Theorem 10.100,  $\triangleright$  Add the following condition:  $\sum_{s \in S} x_s$  is minimal.

$$\text{pp. 857, l. 2, } \sum_{s \in S? \setminus \{s\}} \mathbf{P}(s, \alpha, t) \cdot x_t \triangleright - \sum_{s \in S? \setminus \{s\}} \mathbf{P}(s, \alpha, t) \cdot x_t$$

pp. 863, l. Algorithm 46, **return**  $T \triangleright$  **return**  $S \setminus T$

pp. 865, l. Lemma 10.113 + succeeding paragraph,  $\triangleright$  should be after Theorem 10.109

pp. 870, l. Lemma 10.119, *any*  $s \in S \triangleright$  any  $s \in T$

pp. 876, l. 11,  $U_{\Box \Diamond P} \triangleright U_{\Box \Diamond B}$

pp. 883, l. Theorem 10.129 and just before, *is in 2EXPTIME*  $\triangleright$  is 2EXPTIME-complete (twice)

pp. 903, l. Exercise 10.14,  $\varphi = \Box \Diamond a \triangleright \varphi = \Diamond \Box a$

pp. 903/904, l. Exercise 10.17, *Markov chain*  $\mathcal{M} \triangleright$  Markov chain  $\mathcal{M}$  where all states are equally labeled

pp. 905, l. Exercise 10.22,  $\triangleright$  Compute also the values  $y_s = \Pr^{\max}(s \models C \cup B)$  with  $C = S \setminus \{s_3\}$  and  $B = \{s_6\}$

pp. 905, l. Exercise 10.23, *(a), 1. and (b)*  $\triangleright$  (a), (b), (c)

## Appendix

pp. 912, l. footnote,  $\sigma = A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots \triangleright \sigma = A_0 A_1 A_2 \dots$

pp. 918, l. 8, *not to 1*  $\triangleright$  not to  $n$

pp. 925, l. 1, *they are composed of simple paths*  $\triangleright$  they are composed of paths, at least one of which is simple.