

# **Bisimulation and CTL\***

## **Lecture #24 of Model Checking**

*Joost-Pieter Katoen*

Lehrstuhl 2: Software Modeling & Verification

E-mail: [katoen@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:katoen@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

January 28, 2009

## Overview Lecture #24

⇒ Repetition: Bisimulation equivalence

- CTL\* equivalence

## Bisimulation on states

$\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \times S$  is a *bisimulation* on  $TS$  if for any  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ :

- $L(s_1) = L(s_2)$
- if  $s'_1 \in Post(s_1)$  then there exists an  $s'_2 \in Post(s_2)$  with  $(s'_1, s'_2) \in \mathcal{R}$
- if  $s'_2 \in Post(s_2)$  then there exists an  $s'_1 \in Post(s_1)$  with  $(s'_1, s'_2) \in \mathcal{R}$

$s_1$  and  $s_2$  are *bisimilar*,  $s_1 \sim_{TS} s_2$ , if  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  for some bisimulation  $\mathcal{R}$  for  $TS$

$s_1 \sim_{TS} s_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad TS_{s_1} \sim TS_{s_2}$

## Bisimulation equivalence

$$s_1 \rightarrow s'_1$$

$$\mathcal{R}$$

$$s_2$$

can be completed to

$$s_1 \rightarrow s'_1$$

$$\mathcal{R}$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow s'_2$$

and

$$s_1$$

$$\mathcal{R}$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow s'_2$$

$$s_1 \rightarrow s'_1$$

$$\mathcal{R}$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow s'_2$$

## Coarsest bisimulation

$\sim_{TS}$  is an equivalence and the coarsest bisimulation for  $TS$

## Quotient transition system

For  $TS = (S, Act, \rightarrow, I, AP, L)$  and bisimulation  $\sim_{TS} \subseteq S \times S$  on  $TS$  let

$TS/\sim_{TS} = (S', \{\tau\}, \rightarrow', I', AP, L')$ , the *quotient* of  $TS$  under  $\sim_{TS}$

where

- $S' = S/\sim_{TS} = \{[s]_{\sim} \mid s \in S\}$  with  $[s]_{\sim} = \{s' \in S \mid s \sim s'\}$
- $\rightarrow'$  is defined by: 
$$\frac{s \xrightarrow{\alpha} s'}{[s]_{\sim} \xrightarrow{\tau'} [s']_{\sim}}$$
- $I' = \{[s]_{\sim} \mid s \in I\}$
- $L'([s]_{\sim}) = L(s)$

## Overview Lecture #24

- Repetition: Bisimulation equivalence

⇒ CTL\* equivalence

## Syntax of CTL\*

CTL\* *state-formulas* are formed according to:

$$\Phi ::= \text{true} \quad | \quad a \quad | \quad \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \quad | \quad \neg \Phi \quad | \quad \exists \varphi$$

where  $a \in AP$  and  $\varphi$  is a path-formula

CTL\* *path-formulas* are formed according to the grammar:

$$\varphi ::= \Phi \quad | \quad \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \quad | \quad \neg \varphi \quad | \quad \bigcirc \varphi \quad | \quad \varphi_1 \bigcup \varphi_2$$

where  $\Phi$  is a state-formula, and  $\varphi, \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are path-formulas

in CTL\*:  $\forall \varphi = \neg \exists \neg \varphi$ . This does not hold in CTL!

## CTL\* equivalence

States  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in  $TS$  (over  $AP$ ) are **CTL\*-equivalent**:

$$s_1 \equiv_{CTL^*} s_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (s_1 \models \Phi \text{ iff } s_2 \models \Phi)$$

for all CTL\* state formulas over  $AP$

$$TS_1 \equiv_{CTL^*} TS_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (TS_1 \models \Phi \text{ iff } TS_2 \models \Phi)$$

for any sublogic of CTL\*, logical equivalence is defined analogously

## Trace equivalence and LTL equivalence

Let  $TS$  be a *finite* transition system and  $s, s'$  states in  $TS$

The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $Traces(s) = Traces(s')$
- (2)  $s$  and  $s'$  are LTL-equivalent, i.e.,  $s \equiv_{LTL} s'$

## Bisimulation vs. CTL\* and CTL equivalence

Let  $TS$  be a *finite* transition system and  $s, s'$  states in  $TS$

The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $s \sim_{TS} s'$
- (2)  $s$  and  $s'$  are CTL-equivalent, i.e.,  $s \equiv_{CTL} s'$
- (3)  $s$  and  $s'$  are CTL\*-equivalent, i.e.,  $s \equiv_{CTL^*} s'$

this is proven in three steps:  $\equiv_{CTL} \subseteq \sim \subseteq \equiv_{CTL^*} \subseteq \equiv_{CTL}$

**Proof:**  $\equiv_{CTL} \subseteq \sim_{TS}$

## Example master formula

**Proof:**  $\sim_{TS} \subseteq \equiv_{CTL}^*$

## Important remarks

- Consider the following CTL fragment, say  $\text{CTL}^-$ :

$$\Phi ::= \text{true} \quad | \quad a \quad | \quad \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \quad | \quad \neg \Phi \quad | \quad \exists \bigcirc \Phi$$

- Then:  $\equiv_{\text{CTL}^-}$  coincides with  $\equiv_{\text{CTL}^*}$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{CTL}^-$  thus also characterizes bisimulation
- The relations  $\sim$ ,  $\equiv_{\text{CTL}^*}$ , and  $\equiv_{\text{CTL}}$  coincide
  - for finite transition systems
  - for finitely-branching transition systems; *Why?*
  - but not for arbitrary infinite transition systems' *Why?*

## Bisimulation vs. CTL\*-equivalence

For any transition systems  $TS$  and  $TS'$  (over  $AP$ ):

$$TS \sim TS' \quad \text{iff} \quad TS \equiv_{CTL} TS' \quad \text{iff} \quad TS \equiv_{CTL^*} TS'$$

⇒ prior to model-check  $\Phi$ , it is safe to first minimize  $TS$  wrt.  $\sim$

this can be done with time complexity  $\mathcal{O}(K \cdot \log N)$

## The importance of this result

- CTL and CTL\* equivalence coincide
  - despite the fact that CTL\* is more expressive than CTL
- Bisimilar transition systems preserve the same CTL\* formulas
  - and thus the same LTL formulas (and LT properties)
- Non-bisimilarity can be shown by a single CTL (or CTL\*) formula
  - $TS_1 \models \Phi$  and  $TS_2 \not\models \Phi$  implies  $TS_1 \not\sim TS_2$
- You even do not need to use an until-operator!
- To check  $TS \models \Phi$ , it suffices to check  $TS/\sim \models \Phi$

# Example