

Modeling Concurrent and Probabilistic Systems

Lecture 13: The Polyadic π -Calculus with Process Calls

Joost-Pieter Katoen Thomas Noll

Software Modeling and Verification Group
RWTH Aachen University
`noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de`

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/mcps07/>

Winter Semester 2007/08

- 1 Repetition: The Monadic π -Calculus
- 2 Reaction in the Monadic π -Calculus
- 3 The Polyadic π -Calculus
- 4 Adding Recursive Process Calls

Repetition: Syntax of the Monadic π -Calculus

Definition (Syntax of monadic π -calculus)

- Let $N = \{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z, \dots\}$ be a set of **names**.
- The set of **action prefixes** is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \pi ::= x(y) & \text{(receive } y \text{ along } x) \\ \quad | \bar{x}\langle y \rangle & \text{(send } y \text{ along } x) \\ \quad | \tau & \text{(unobservable action)} \end{array}$$

- The set P^π of **π -calculus process expressions** is defined by the following syntax:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P ::= \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i.P_i & \text{(guarded sum)} \\ \quad | P_1 \parallel P_2 & \text{(parallel composition)} \\ \quad | \text{new } x P & \text{(restriction)} \\ \quad | !P & \text{(replication)} \end{array}$$

(where I finite, $x \in N$)

Conventions:

$\text{nil} := \sum_{i \in \emptyset} \pi_i.P_i$, $\text{new } x_1, \dots, x_n P := \text{new } x_1 (\dots \text{new } x_n P)$

Repetition: Structural Congruence

Goal: simplify definition of operational semantics by ignoring “purely syntactic” differences between processes

Definition (Structural congruence)

$P, Q \in P^\pi$ are **structurally congruent**, written $P \equiv Q$, if one can be transformed into the other by applying the following operations and equations:

- ❶ renaming of bound names (α -conversion)
- ❷ reordering of terms in a summation (commutativity of $+$)
- ❸ $P \parallel Q \equiv Q \parallel P$, $P \parallel (Q \parallel R) \equiv (P \parallel Q) \parallel R$, $P \parallel \text{nil} \equiv P$
(Abelian monoid laws for \parallel)
- ❹ $\text{new } x \text{ nil} \equiv \text{nil}$, $\text{new } x, y P \equiv \text{new } y, x P$,
 $P \parallel \text{new } x Q \equiv \text{new } x (P \parallel Q)$ if $x \notin \text{fn}(P)$ (scope extension)
- ❺ $!P \equiv P \parallel !P$ (unfolding)

Repetition: A Standard Form

Theorem (Standard form)

*Every process expression is structurally congruent to a process of the **standard form***

$$\text{new } x_1, \dots, x_k (P_1 \parallel \dots \parallel P_m \parallel !Q_1 \parallel \dots \parallel !Q_n)$$

*where each P_i is a non-empty sum, and each Q_j is in standard form.
(If $m = n = 0$: nil; if $k = 0$: no restriction)*

Proof.

by induction on the structure of $R \in P^\pi$ (on the board)



Repetition: The Reaction Relation

Thanks to Theorem 13.3, only processes in standard form need to be considered for defining the operational semantics:

Definition

The **reaction relation** $\longrightarrow \subseteq P^\pi \times P^\pi$ is generated by the rules:

$$(\text{Tau}) \frac{}{\tau.P + Q \longrightarrow P}$$

$$(\text{React}) \frac{}{(x(y).P + Q) \parallel (\bar{x}\langle z \rangle.R + S) \longrightarrow P[y \mapsto z] \parallel R}$$

$$(\text{Par}) \frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{P \parallel Q \longrightarrow P' \parallel Q}$$

$$(\text{Res}) \frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{\text{new } x \, P \longrightarrow \text{new } x \, P'}$$

$$(\text{Struct}) \frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{Q \longrightarrow Q'} \quad \text{if } P \equiv Q \text{ and } P' \equiv Q'$$

($P[y \mapsto z]$ replaces every free occurrence of y in P by z .)

In (React), the pair $(x(y), \bar{x}\langle z \rangle)$ is called a **redex**.)

- 1 Repetition: The Monadic π -Calculus
- 2 Reaction in the Monadic π -Calculus
- 3 The Polyadic π -Calculus
- 4 Adding Recursive Process Calls

Example 13.1

- ① **Printer server** (cf. Lecture 11):

$$\underbrace{\bar{b}\langle a \rangle . S'}_S \parallel \underbrace{a(e) . P'}_P \parallel \underbrace{b(c) . \bar{c}\langle d \rangle . C'}_C \longrightarrow S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C'$$

$$S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C' \longrightarrow S' \parallel P'[e \mapsto d] \parallel C'$$

(on the board)

- ② With **scope extension** ($P \parallel \text{new } x Q \equiv \text{new } x (P \parallel Q)$ if $x \notin \text{fn}(P)$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{new } b (\text{new } a (\bar{b}\langle a \rangle . S' \parallel a(e) . P') \parallel b(c) . \bar{c}\langle d \rangle . C') \\ \longrightarrow & \text{new } a, b (S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C') \end{aligned}$$

(on the board)

Example 13.1

- ① **Printer server** (cf. Lecture 11):

$$\underbrace{\bar{b}\langle a \rangle . S'}_S \parallel \underbrace{a(e) . P'}_P \parallel \underbrace{b(c) . \bar{c}\langle d \rangle . C'}_C \longrightarrow S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C'$$

$$S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C' \longrightarrow S' \parallel P'[e \mapsto d] \parallel C'$$

(on the board)

- ② With **scope extension** ($P \parallel \text{new } x Q \equiv \text{new } x (P \parallel Q)$ if $x \notin \text{fn}(P)$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{new } b (\text{new } a (\bar{b}\langle a \rangle . S' \parallel a(e) . P')) \parallel b(c) . \bar{c}\langle d \rangle . C' \\ \longrightarrow & \text{new } a, b (S' \parallel a(e) . P' \parallel \bar{a}\langle d \rangle . C') \end{aligned}$$

(on the board)

Example 13.2

- System specification (cf. Lecture 12):

$$\begin{aligned}System_1 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_1 \parallel Station_1 \parallel Idle_2 \parallel Control_1) \\System_2 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_2 \parallel Idle_1 \parallel Station_2 \parallel Control_2) \\Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) &= talk.Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) + \\&\quad lose(t, s). \overline{switch} \langle t, s \rangle. Idle(gain, lose) \\Idle(gain, lose) &= \overline{gain} \langle t, s \rangle. Station(t, s, gain, lose) \\Control_1 &= \overline{lose_1} \langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle. \overline{gain_2} \langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle. Control_2 \\Control_2 &= \overline{lose_2} \langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle. \overline{gain_1} \langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle. Control_1 \\Client(talk, switch) &= talk.Client(talk, switch) + switch(t, s). Client(t, s)\end{aligned}$$

- Use additional congruence rule for **process calls**:
if $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$, then $A(\vec{y}) \equiv P_A[\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}]$
- Use additional reaction rule for **polyadic communication**:

$$(\text{React}') \frac{}{(x(\vec{y}).P + Q) \parallel (\bar{x} \langle \vec{z} \rangle. R + S) \longrightarrow P[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{z}] \parallel R}$$

- Show $System_1 \longrightarrow^* System_2$ (on the board)

Example 13.2

- System specification (cf. Lecture 12):

$$\begin{aligned}System_1 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_1 \parallel Station_1 \parallel Idle_2 \parallel Control_1) \\System_2 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_2 \parallel Idle_1 \parallel Station_2 \parallel Control_2) \\Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) &= talk.Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) + \\&\quad lose(t, s). \overline{switch} \langle t, s \rangle. Idle(gain, lose) \\Idle(gain, lose) &= \overline{gain} \langle t, s \rangle. Station(t, s, gain, lose) \\Control_1 &= \overline{lose}_1 \langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle. \overline{gain}_2 \langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle. Control_2 \\Control_2 &= \overline{lose}_2 \langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle. \overline{gain}_1 \langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle. Control_1 \\Client(talk, switch) &= talk.Client(talk, switch) + switch(t, s). Client(t, s)\end{aligned}$$

- Use additional congruence rule for **process calls**:

if $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$, then $A(\vec{y}) \equiv P_A[\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}]$

- Use additional reaction rule for **polyadic communication**:

$$(\text{React}') \frac{}{(x(\vec{y}).P + Q) \parallel (\bar{x} \langle \vec{z} \rangle. R + S) \longrightarrow P[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{z}] \parallel R}$$

- Show $System_1 \longrightarrow^* System_2$ (on the board)

Example 13.2

- System specification (cf. Lecture 12):

$$\begin{aligned}System_1 &= \text{new } L (Client_1 \parallel Station_1 \parallel Idle_2 \parallel Control_1) \\System_2 &= \text{new } L (Client_2 \parallel Idle_1 \parallel Station_2 \parallel Control_2) \\Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) &= talk.Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) + \\&\quad lose(t, s).\overline{switch}\langle t, s \rangle.Idle(gain, lose) \\Idle(gain, lose) &= \overline{gain}(t, s).Station(t, s, gain, lose) \\Control_1 &= \overline{lose}_1\langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle.\overline{gain}_2\langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle.Control_2 \\Control_2 &= \overline{lose}_2\langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle.\overline{gain}_1\langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle.Control_1 \\Client(talk, switch) &= talk.Client(talk, switch) + switch(t, s).Client(t, s)\end{aligned}$$

- Use additional congruence rule for **process calls**:
if $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$, then $A(\vec{y}) \equiv P_A[\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}]$
- Use additional reaction rule for **polyadic communication**:

$$(\text{React}') \frac{}{(x(\vec{y}).P + Q) \parallel (\bar{x}\langle \vec{z} \rangle.R + S) \longrightarrow P[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{z}] \parallel R}$$

- Show $System_1 \longrightarrow^* System_2$ (on the board)

Example 13.2

- System specification (cf. Lecture 12):

$$\begin{aligned}System_1 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_1 \parallel Station_1 \parallel Idle_2 \parallel Control_1) \\System_2 &= \text{new } L \text{ } (Client_2 \parallel Idle_1 \parallel Station_2 \parallel Control_2) \\Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) &= talk.Station(talk, switch, gain, lose) + \\&\quad lose(t, s).\overline{switch}\langle t, s \rangle.Idle(gain, lose) \\Idle(gain, lose) &= \overline{gain}(t, s).Station(t, s, gain, lose) \\Control_1 &= \overline{lose}_1\langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle.\overline{gain}_2\langle talk_2, switch_2 \rangle.Control_2 \\Control_2 &= \overline{lose}_2\langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle.\overline{gain}_1\langle talk_1, switch_1 \rangle.Control_1 \\Client(talk, switch) &= talk.Client(talk, switch) + switch(t, s).Client(t, s)\end{aligned}$$

- Use additional congruence rule for **process calls**:
if $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$, then $A(\vec{y}) \equiv P_A[\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}]$
- Use additional reaction rule for **polyadic communication**:

$$(\text{React}') \frac{}{(x(\vec{y}).P + Q) \parallel (\bar{x}\langle \vec{z} \rangle.R + S) \longrightarrow P[\vec{y} \mapsto \vec{z}] \parallel R}$$

- Show $System_1 \longrightarrow^* System_2$ (on the board)

- 1 Repetition: The Monadic π -Calculus
- 2 Reaction in the Monadic π -Calculus
- 3 The Polyadic π -Calculus
- 4 Adding Recursive Process Calls

- **So far:** messages with exactly one name
- **Now:** arbitrary number
- New types of **action prefixes**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all y_i distinct

- Expected **behavior**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \longrightarrow P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto z_n] \parallel Q$$

(replacement of **free** names)

- Obvious attempt for **encoding**:

$$x(y_1) \dots x(y_n).P \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{x}\langle z_n \rangle.Q$$

- **So far:** messages with exactly one name
- **Now:** arbitrary number
- New types of **action prefixes**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all y_i distinct

- Expected **behavior**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \longrightarrow P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto z_n] \parallel Q$$

(replacement of **free** names)

- Obvious attempt for **encoding**:

$$x(y_1) \dots x(y_n).P \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{x}\langle z_n \rangle.Q$$

- **So far:** messages with exactly one name
- **Now:** arbitrary number
- New types of **action prefixes**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all y_i distinct

- Expected **behavior**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \longrightarrow P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto z_n] \parallel Q$$

(replacement of **free** names)

- Obvious attempt for **encoding**:

$$x(y_1) \dots x(y_n).P \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{x}\langle z_n \rangle.Q$$

- **So far:** messages with exactly one name
- **Now:** arbitrary number
- New types of **action prefixes**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all y_i distinct

- Expected **behavior**:

$$x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \longrightarrow P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto z_n] \parallel Q$$

(replacement of **free** names)

- Obvious attempt for **encoding**:

$$x(y_1) \dots x(y_n).P \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{x}\langle z_n \rangle.Q$$

- But consider the following **counterexample**.

Polyadic representation:

$$x(y_1, y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q'$$

$$P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel Q'$$

Monadic encoding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel \dots \vee & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \dots \vee \\ \uparrow 2 & \uparrow 2 \\ x(y_1).x(y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z'_2 \rangle.Q' \\ \downarrow 2 & \downarrow 2 \\ P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_1] \parallel \dots * & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z_1] \parallel \dots * \end{array}$$

- Solution:** avoid interferences by first introducing a **fresh channel**:

$$\begin{array}{l} x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \mapsto x(w).w(y_1) \dots w(y_n).P \\ \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \mapsto \text{new } w (\bar{x}\langle w \rangle.\bar{w}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{w}\langle z_n \rangle.Q) \end{array}$$

where $w \notin \text{fn}(Q)$

- Correctness:** see Ex. 8.4

- But consider the following **counterexample**.

Polyadic representation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x(y_1, y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \\
 \swarrow \quad \searrow \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \quad P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel Q'
 \end{array}$$

Monadic encoding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel \dots \vee & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \dots \vee \\
 \uparrow 2 & & \uparrow 2 \\
 x(y_1).x(y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z'_2 \rangle.Q' & & \\
 \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_1] \parallel \dots * & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z_1] \parallel \dots *
 \end{array}$$

- Solution:** avoid interferences by first introducing a **fresh channel**:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \mapsto x(w).w(y_1) \dots w(y_n).P \\
 \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \mapsto \text{new } w (\bar{x}\langle w \rangle.\bar{w}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{w}\langle z_n \rangle.Q)
 \end{array}$$

where $w \notin \text{fn}(Q)$

- Correctness:** see Ex. 8.4

- But consider the following **counterexample**.

Polyadic representation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x(y_1, y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \\
 \swarrow \quad \searrow \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \quad P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel Q'
 \end{array}$$

Monadic encoding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel \dots \sqrt{} & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \dots \sqrt{} \\
 \uparrow 2 & & \uparrow 2 \\
 x(y_1).x(y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z'_2 \rangle.Q' & & \\
 \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_1] \parallel \dots * & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z_1] \parallel \dots *
 \end{array}$$

- Solution:** avoid interferences by first introducing a **fresh channel**:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \mapsto x(w).w(y_1) \dots w(y_n).P \\
 \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \mapsto \text{new } w (\bar{x}\langle w \rangle.\bar{w}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{w}\langle z_n \rangle.Q)
 \end{array}$$

where $w \notin \text{fn}(Q)$

- Correctness: see Ex. 8.4

- But consider the following **counterexample**.

Polyadic representation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x(y_1, y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \\
 \swarrow \quad \searrow \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle.Q' \quad P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel Q'
 \end{array}$$

Monadic encoding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z_2] \parallel \dots \sqrt{} & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_2] \parallel \dots \sqrt{} \\
 \uparrow 2 & & \uparrow 2 \\
 x(y_1).x(y_2).P \parallel \bar{x}\langle z_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z_2 \rangle.Q \parallel \bar{x}\langle z'_1 \rangle.\bar{x}\langle z'_2 \rangle.Q' & & \\
 \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 \\
 P[y_1 \mapsto z_1, y_2 \mapsto z'_1] \parallel \dots * & & P[y_1 \mapsto z'_1, y_2 \mapsto z_1] \parallel \dots *
 \end{array}$$

- Solution:** avoid interferences by first introducing a **fresh channel**:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \mapsto x(w).w(y_1) \dots w(y_n).P \\
 \bar{x}\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle.Q \mapsto \text{new } w (\bar{x}\langle w \rangle.\bar{w}\langle z_1 \rangle \dots \bar{w}\langle z_n \rangle.Q)
 \end{array}$$

where $w \notin \text{fn}(Q)$

- Correctness:** see Ex. 8.4

- 1 Repetition: The Monadic π -Calculus
- 2 Reaction in the Monadic π -Calculus
- 3 The Polyadic π -Calculus
- 4 Adding Recursive Process Calls

- So far: process **replication** $!P$
- Now: parametric **process definitions** of the form

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P_A$$

where A is a **process identifier** and P_A a process expression containing **calls** of A (and other parametric processes)

- Again: possible to **simulate in basic calculus** by using
 - **message passing** to model **parameter passing** to A
 - **replication** to model the **multiple activations** of A
 - **restriction** to model the **scope of the definition** of A

- So far: process **replication** $!P$
- Now: parametric **process definitions** of the form

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P_A$$

where A is a **process identifier** and P_A a process expression containing **calls** of A (and other parametric processes)

- Again: possible to **simulate in basic calculus** by using
 - **message passing** to model **parameter passing** to A
 - **replication** to model the **multiple activations** of A
 - **restriction** to model the **scope of the definition** of A

Recursive Process Calls II

The **encoding**

- of a **process definition** $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$
- with **right-hand side** $P_A = \dots A(\vec{u}) \dots A(\vec{v}) \dots$
- for **main process** $Q = \dots A(\vec{y}) \dots A(\vec{z}) \dots$

is defined as follows:

- 1 Let $a \in N$ be a new name (standing for A).
- 2 For any process R , let \hat{R} be the result of replacing every call $A(\vec{w})$ by $\bar{a}\langle\vec{w}\rangle$.
- 3 Replace Q by $Q' := \text{new } a (\hat{Q} \parallel !a(\vec{x}).\hat{P}_A)$.

(In the presence of more than one process identifier, Q' will contain a replicated component for each definition.)

Example 13.3

One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in(x).\overline{out}\langle x \rangle.B(in, out)$$

(on the board)

Recursive Process Calls II

The **encoding**

- of a **process definition** $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$
- with **right-hand side** $P_A = \dots A(\vec{u}) \dots A(\vec{v}) \dots$
- for **main process** $Q = \dots A(\vec{y}) \dots A(\vec{z}) \dots$

is defined as follows:

- 1 Let $a \in N$ be a new name (standing for A).
- 2 For any process R , let \hat{R} be the result of replacing every call $A(\vec{w})$ by $\bar{a}\langle\vec{w}\rangle$.
- 3 Replace Q by $Q' := \text{new } a (\hat{Q} \parallel !a(\vec{x}).\hat{P}_A)$.

(In the presence of more than one process identifier, Q' will contain a replicated component for each definition.)

Example 13.3

One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in(x).\overline{out}\langle x \rangle.B(in, out)$$

(on the board)

Recursive Process Calls II

The **encoding**

- of a **process definition** $A(\vec{x}) = P_A$
- with **right-hand side** $P_A = \dots A(\vec{u}) \dots A(\vec{v}) \dots$
- for **main process** $Q = \dots A(\vec{y}) \dots A(\vec{z}) \dots$

is defined as follows:

- 1 Let $a \in N$ be a new name (standing for A).
- 2 For any process R , let \hat{R} be the result of replacing every call $A(\vec{w})$ by $\bar{a}\langle\vec{w}\rangle$.
- 3 Replace Q by $Q' := \text{new } a (\hat{Q} \parallel !a(\vec{x}).\hat{P}_A)$.

(In the presence of more than one process identifier, Q' will contain a replicated component for each definition.)

Example 13.3

One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in(x).\overline{out}\langle x\rangle.B(in, out)$$

(on the board)