

## 7. Exercise sheet *Static Program Analysis 2011*

Due Mon, 20. June 2011, before the exercise course begins.

### Exercise 7.1:

(5 points)

For every Galois connection we considered so far we observed that  $\alpha(\gamma(m)) = m$ . This kind of Galois connection is called *Galois insertion*:

$(\alpha, \gamma)$  is a *Galois insertion* between the complete lattices  $L$  and  $M$  if and only if:

$\alpha : L \rightarrow M$  and  $\gamma : M \rightarrow L$  are monotone functions

that satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\alpha(l)) &\sqsupseteq l & \forall l \in L \\ \alpha(\gamma(m)) &= m & \forall m \in M\end{aligned}$$

Show that for a Galois connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  between  $L$  and  $M$  the following claims are equivalent:

- (i)  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  is a *Galois insertion*
- (ii)  $\gamma$  is injective
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is surjective
- (iv)  $\forall m_1, m_2 : m_1 \sqsubseteq m_2 \Leftrightarrow \gamma(m_1) \sqsubseteq \gamma(m_2)$

### Exercise 7.2:

(1 + 2 + 3 points)

Proof or disproof the following statements:

- (a)  $\gamma(\top_M) = \top_L$  for any Galois connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha : L \rightarrow M$  and  $\gamma : M \rightarrow L$ .
- (b) Given two Galois connections  $(\alpha_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \gamma_2)$  with  $\alpha_1 : L \rightarrow M_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 : L \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $\gamma_1 : M_1 \rightarrow L$  and  $\gamma_2 : M_2 \rightarrow L$  the *direct product*  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  with:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(l) &= (\alpha_1(l), \alpha_2(l)) \\ \gamma(m_1, m_2) &= \gamma_1(m_1) \sqcap \gamma_2(m_2)\end{aligned}$$

is also a Galois connection.

- (c) The *direct product* of two Galois insertions is a Galois insertion.

### Exercise 7.3:

(3 + 3 points)

Consider stacks over integer values. Given a stack  $s$  and a number  $i$   $s.\mathbf{push}(i)$  pushes  $i$  on the top of  $s$ ,  $s.\mathbf{peek}()$  returns the top most element of  $s$ , while  $s.\mathbf{pop}()$  removes the top element without returning it,  $t := s$  assigns the stack values from  $s$  to the stack  $t$ .

- (a) Extend the *execution relation* from the lecture for the new operations. Consider  $\text{val}_\sigma$  to work on stack variables and **peek()** calls as expected.
- (b) Show that there is a reasonable Galois connection between pairs of stacks  $L = 2^{\mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^*}$  and  $M = 2^{\{H, S\} \times 2^\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $H$  means that the top elements of the stacks are equal and  $S$  that one stack is a suffix of the other. The second component of  $M$  denotes  $\text{length}(a) - \text{length}(b)$ , the difference in length for stack pair  $(a, b)$ . Therefore the value is negative if the first stack contains less elements than the second. Note that the absence of  $H$  or  $S$  does not claim anything, i.e. neither the absence of  $H$  states that the top element is unequal nor the absence of  $S$  that none list is a suffix of the other.