

Static Program Analysis

Lecture 6: Dataflow Analysis V

(Constant Propagation & Undecidability of MOP Solution)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
(Software Modeling and Verification)

RWTH Aachen University

`noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de`

`http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/spa11/`

Summer Semester 2011

- 1 Repetition: MOP Solution
- 2 Repetition: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 Undecidability of the MOP Solution

The MOP Solution I

- Other **solution method** for dataflow systems
- MOP = **Meet Over all Paths**
- Analysis information for block B^l = **least upper bound over all paths leading to l**

Definition (Paths)

Let $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ be a dataflow system. For every $l \in L$, the set of **paths up to l** is given by

$$\text{Path}(l) := \{[l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}] \mid k \geq 1, l_1 \in E, \\ (l_i, l_{i+1}) \in F \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq k, l_k = l\}.$$

For a path $p = [l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}] \in \text{Path}(l)$, we define the **transfer function** $\varphi_p : D \rightarrow D$ by

$$\varphi_p := \varphi_{l_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{l_1} \circ \text{id}_D$$

(so that $\varphi_{[]} = \text{id}_D$).

Definition (MOP solution)

Let $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ be a dataflow system where $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$. The **MOP solution** for S is determined by

$$\text{mop}(S) := (\text{mop}(l_1), \dots, \text{mop}(l_n)) \in D^n$$

where, for every $l \in L$,

$$\text{mop}(l) := \bigsqcup \{\varphi_p(\iota) \mid p \in \text{Path}(l)\}.$$

Remark:

- $\text{Path}(l)$ is generally infinite

⇒ not clear how to compute $\text{mop}(l)$

- In fact: MOP solution generally undecidable (later)

- 1 Repetition: MOP Solution
- 2 Repetition: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 Undecidability of the MOP Solution

Formalizing Constant Propagation Analysis I

The **dataflow system** $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ is given by

- set of labels $L := L_c$,
- extremal labels $E := \{\text{init}(c)\}$ (forward problem),
- flow relation $F := \text{flow}(c)$ (forward problem),
- complete lattice $(D, \sqsubseteq)$ where
 - $D := \{\delta \mid \delta : \text{Var}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp, \top\}\}$
 - $\delta(x) = z \in \mathbb{Z}$: x has **constant value** z
 - $\delta(x) = \perp$: x **undefined**
 - $\delta(x) = \top$: x **overdefined** (i.e., different possible values)
 - $\sqsubseteq \subseteq D \times D$ defined by pointwise extension of $\perp \sqsubseteq z \sqsubseteq \top$ (for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}$)

Example

$$\text{Var}_c = \{w, x, y, z\},$$

$$\delta_1 = (\underbrace{\perp}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{2}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z), \quad \delta_2 = (\underbrace{3}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{4}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z)$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2 = (\underbrace{3}_w, \underbrace{1}_x, \underbrace{\top}_y, \underbrace{\top}_z)$$

Formalizing Constant Propagation Analysis II

Dataflow system $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ (continued):

- extremal value $\iota := \delta_{\top} \in D$ where $\delta_{\top}(x) := \top$ for every $x \in \text{Var}_c$ (i.e., every x has (unknown) default value)
- transfer functions $\{\varphi_l \mid l \in L\}$ defined by

$$\varphi_l(\delta) := \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } B^l = \text{skip or } B^l \in BExp \\ \delta[x \mapsto \text{val}_{\delta}(a)] & \text{if } B^l = (x := a) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val}_{\delta}(x) &:= \delta(x) \\ \text{val}_{\delta}(z) &:= z \end{aligned} \quad \text{val}_{\delta}(a_1 \text{ op } a_2) := \begin{cases} z_1 \text{ op } z_2 & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \perp & \text{if } z_1 = \perp \text{ or } z_2 = \perp \\ \top & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $z_1 := \text{val}_{\delta}(a_1)$ and $z_2 := \text{val}_{\delta}(a_2)$

- 1 Repetition: MOP Solution
- 2 Repetition: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 Undecidability of the MOP Solution

Example 6.1

Constant Propagation Analysis for

$c := [x := 1]^1;$	$\varphi_1((a, b, c, d)) = (a, 1, c, d)$
$[y := 1]^2;$	$\varphi_2((a, b, c, d)) = (a, b, 1, d)$
$[z := 1]^3;$	$\varphi_3((a, b, c, d)) = (a, b, c, 1)$
$\text{while } [z > 0]^4 \text{ do}$	$\varphi_4((a, b, c, d)) = (a, b, c, d)$
$ [w := x+y]^5;$	$\varphi_5((a, b, c, d)) = (b + c, b, c, d)$
$ \text{if } [w = 2]^6 \text{ then}$	$\varphi_6((a, b, c, d)) = (a, b, c, d)$
$ [x := y+2]^7$	$\varphi_7((a, b, c, d)) = (a, c + 2, c, d)$

- 1 Fixpoint solution (on the board)
- 2 MOP solution (on the board)

- 1 Repetition: MOP Solution
- 2 Repetition: Constant Propagation
- 3 Example of Constant Propagation Analysis
- 4 Undecidability of the MOP Solution

Undecidability of the MOP Solution

Theorem 6.2 (Undecidability of MOP solution)

The MOP solution for Constant Propagation is undecidable.

Undecidability of the MOP Solution

Theorem 6.2 (Undecidability of MOP solution)

The MOP solution for Constant Propagation is undecidable.

Proof.

Based on undecidability of **Modified Post Correspondence Problem**:

Let Γ be some alphabet, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Gamma^+$.

Do there exist $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $m \geq 1$ and $i_1 = 1$ such that $u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_m} = v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_m}$?

(on the board)

