

Semantics and Verification of Software

Lecture 11: Axiomatic Semantics of WHILE II (Hoare Logic)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2
(Software Modeling and Verification)

RWTH Aachen University
`noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de`

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw10/>

Summer Semester 2010

1 Repetition: Partial Correctness Properties

2 Proof Rules for Partial Correctness

Validity of property $\{A\} c \{B\}$

For all states $\sigma \in \Sigma$ which satisfy A :

if the execution of c in σ terminates in $\sigma' \in \Sigma$, then σ' satisfies B .

Definition (Syntax of assertions)

The **syntax of *Assn*** is defined by the following context-free grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} a &::= z \mid x \mid i \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2 \in LExp \\ A &::= t \mid a_1 = a_2 \mid a_1 > a_2 \mid \neg A \mid A_1 \wedge A_2 \mid A_1 \vee A_2 \mid \forall i. A \in Assn \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \implies A_2 &:= \neg A_1 \vee A_2 \\ \exists i. A &:= \neg(\forall i. \neg A) \\ a_1 \geq a_2 &:= a_1 > a_2 \vee a_1 = a_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The semantics now additionally depends on values of logical variables:

Definition (Semantics of $LExp$)

An **interpretation** is an element of the set

$$Int := \{I \mid I : LVar \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The **value of an arithmetic expressions with logical variables** is given by the functional

$$\mathcal{L}[\![\cdot]\!] : LExp \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}))$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}[\![z]\!]I\sigma &:= z \\ \mathcal{L}[\![x]\!]I\sigma &:= \sigma(x) \\ \mathcal{L}[\![i]\!]I\sigma &:= I(i) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}[\![a_1 + a_2]\!]I\sigma &:= \mathcal{L}[\![a_1]\!]I\sigma + \mathcal{L}[\![a_2]\!]I\sigma \\ \mathcal{L}[\![a_1 - a_2]\!]I\sigma &:= \mathcal{L}[\![a_1]\!]I\sigma - \mathcal{L}[\![a_2]\!]I\sigma \\ \mathcal{L}[\![a_1 * a_2]\!]I\sigma &:= \mathcal{L}[\![a_1]\!]I\sigma * \mathcal{L}[\![a_2]\!]I\sigma \end{array}$$

Semantics of Assertions

Reminder:

$A ::= t \mid a_1 = a_2 \mid a_1 > a_2 \mid \neg A \mid A_1 \wedge A_2 \mid A_1 \vee A_2 \mid \forall i. A \in Assn$

Definition (Semantics of assertions)

Let $A \in Assn$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\perp}$, and $I \in Int$. The relation “ σ satisfies A in I ” (notation: $\sigma \models^I A$) is inductively defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &\models^I \text{true} \\ \sigma &\models^I a_1 = a_2 && \text{if } \mathcal{L}[[a_1]]I\sigma = \mathcal{L}[[a_2]]I\sigma \\ \sigma &\models^I a_1 > a_2 && \text{if } \mathcal{L}[[a_1]]I\sigma > \mathcal{L}[[a_2]]I\sigma \\ \sigma &\models^I \neg A && \text{if not } \sigma \models^I A \\ \sigma &\models^I A_1 \wedge A_2 && \text{if } \sigma \models^I A_1 \text{ and } \sigma \models^I A_2 \\ \sigma &\models^I A_1 \vee A_2 && \text{if } \sigma \models^I A_1 \text{ or } \sigma \models^I A_2 \\ \sigma &\models^I \forall i. A && \text{if } \sigma \models^{I[i \mapsto z]} A \text{ for every } z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \perp &\models^I A\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore “ σ satisfies A ” ($\sigma \models A$) if $\sigma \models^I A$ for every interpretation $I \in Int$, and A is called **valid** ($\models A$) if $\sigma \models A$ for every state $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Definition (Partial correctness properties)

Let $A, B \in \text{Assn}$ and $c \in \text{Cmd}$.

- An expression of the form $\{A\} c \{B\}$ is called a **partial correctness property** with **precondition** A and **postcondition** B .
- Given $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\perp}$ and $I \in \text{Int}$, we let

$$\sigma \models^I \{A\} c \{B\}$$

if $\sigma \models^I A$ implies $\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma \models^I B$
(or equivalently: $\sigma \in A^I \implies \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma \in B^I$).

- $\{A\} c \{B\}$ is called **valid in I** (notation: $\models^I \{A\} c \{B\}$) if $\sigma \models^I \{A\} c \{B\}$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\perp}$ (or equivalently: $\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]A^I \subseteq B^I$).
- $\{A\} c \{B\}$ is called **valid** (notation: $\models \{A\} c \{B\}$) if $\models^I \{A\} c \{B\}$ for every $I \in \text{Int}$.

- 1 Repetition: Partial Correctness Properties
- 2 Proof Rules for Partial Correctness

Hoare Logic I

Goal: syntactic derivation of valid partial correctness properties

Definition 11.1 (Hoare Logic)

The **Hoare rules** are given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(skip)} \frac{}{\{A\} \text{ skip } \{A\}} \qquad \text{(asgn)} \frac{}{\{A[x \mapsto a]\} x := a \{A\}} \\ \text{(seq)} \frac{\{A\} c_1 \{C\} \quad \{C\} c_2 \{B\}}{\{A\} c_1 ; c_2 \{B\}} \qquad \text{(if)} \frac{\{A \wedge b\} c_1 \{B\} \quad \{A \wedge \neg b\} c_2 \{B\}}{\{A\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{B\}} \\ \text{(while)} \frac{\{A \wedge b\} c \{A\}}{\{A\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{A \wedge \neg b\}} \\ \text{(cons)} \frac{\models (A \implies A') \quad \{A'\} c \{B'\} \models (B' \implies B)}{\{A\} c \{B\}} \end{array}$$

A partial correctness property is **provable** (notation: $\vdash \{A\} c \{B\}$) if it is derivable by the Hoare rules. In case of (while), A is called a **(loop) invariant**.

Here $A[x \mapsto a]$ denotes the syntactic replacement of every occurrence of x by a in A .

Example 11.2

Proof of $\{A\} y:=1; c \{B\}$ where

$c := (\text{while } \neg(x=1) \text{ do } (y:=y*x; x:=x-1))$

$A := (x = i)$

$B := (y = i!)$

(on the board)

Structure of the proof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(seq)} \frac{\text{(cons)} \frac{\overline{4} \text{ (asgn)} \overline{5} \overline{6}}{2} \text{ (cons)} \overline{7} \text{ (while)} \frac{\text{(cons)} \overline{11} \text{ (seq)} \frac{\text{(asgn)} \overline{14} \text{ (asgn)} \overline{15}}{12} \overline{13}}{10}}{8} \overline{9}}{1}
 \end{array}$$

Example 11.2 (continued)

Here the single propositions are given by:

- ① $C := (x > 0 \implies y * x! = i!)$
- ② $\{A\} y := 1; c \{B\}$
- ③ $\{A\} y := 1 \{C\}$
- ④ $\{C\} c \{B\}$
- ⑤ $\models (A \implies C[y \mapsto 1])$
- ⑥ $\{C[y \mapsto 1]\} y := 1 \{C\}$
- ⑦ $\models (C \implies C)$
- ⑧ $\models (C \implies C)$
- ⑨ $\{C\} c \{\neg(\neg(x = 1)) \wedge C\}$
- ⑩ $\models (\neg(\neg(x = 1)) \wedge C \implies B)$
- ⑪ $\{\neg(x = 1) \wedge C\} y := y * x; x := x - 1 \{C\}$
- ⑫ $\models (\neg(x = 1) \wedge C \implies C[x \mapsto x - 1, y \mapsto y * x])$
- ⑬ $\{C[x \mapsto x - 1, y \mapsto y * x]\} y := y * x; x := x - 1 \{C\}$
- ⑭ $\models (C \implies C)$
- ⑮ $\{C[x \mapsto x - 1, y \mapsto y * x]\} y := y * x \{C[x \mapsto x - 1]\}$
- ⑯ $\{C[x \mapsto x - 1]\} x := x - 1 \{C\}$