

# Semantics and Verification of Software

## Lecture 21: Dataflow Analysis IV (Solving Dataflow Equation Systems)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)

RWTH Aachen University

[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw10/>

Summer Semester 2010

- 1 Repetition: The Dataflow Analysis Framework
- 2 Solving Dataflow Equation Systems
- 3 Uniqueness of Solutions
- 4 Efficient Fixpoint Computation

## Definition (Dataflow system)

A **dataflow system**  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$  consists of

- a finite set of (program) **labels**  $L$  (here:  $L_c$ ),
- a set of **extremal labels**  $E \subseteq L$  (here:  $\{\text{init}(c)\}$  or  $\text{final}(c)$ ),
- a **flow relation**  $F \subseteq L \times L$  (here:  $\text{flow}(c)$  or  $\text{flow}^R(c)$ ),
- a **complete lattice**  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  that satisfies ACC  
(with LUB operator  $\sqcup$  and least element  $\perp$ ),
- an **extremal value**  $\iota \in D$  (for the extremal labels), and
- a collection of **monotonic transfer functions**  $\{\varphi_l \mid l \in L\}$  of type  $\varphi_l : D \rightarrow D$ .

## Example

Problem	Available Expressions	Live Variables
$E$	$\{\text{init}(c)\}$	$\text{final}(c)$
$F$	$\text{flow}(c)$	$\text{flow}^R(c)$
$D$	$2^{AExp_c}$	$2^{Var_c}$
$\sqsubseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\subseteq$
$\sqcup$	$\bigcap$	$\bigcup$
$\perp$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
$\iota$	$\emptyset$	$Var_c$
$\varphi_l$	$\varphi_l(d) = (d \setminus \text{kill}(B^l)) \cup \text{gen}(B^l)$	

- 1 Repetition: The Dataflow Analysis Framework
- 2 Solving Dataflow Equation Systems
- 3 Uniqueness of Solutions
- 4 Efficient Fixpoint Computation

## Definition 21.1 (Dataflow equation system)

Let  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$  be a dataflow system.  $S$  defines the following **equation system** over the set of variables  $\{\text{Al}_l \mid l \in L\}$ :

$$\text{Al}_l = \begin{cases} \iota & \text{if } l \in E \\ \bigsqcup \{\varphi_{l'}(\text{Al}_{l'}) \mid (l', l) \in F\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, the equation system determines a functional whose **fixpoints** are exactly the **solutions** of the equation system.

## Definition 21.2 (Dataflow functional)

The equation system of a dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$  induces a **functional**

$$\Phi_S : D^n \rightarrow D^n : (d_{l_1}, \dots, d_{l_n}) \mapsto (d'_{l_1}, \dots, d'_{l_n})$$

where  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$  and, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$d'_{l_i} := \begin{cases} \iota & \text{if } l_i \in E \\ \bigsqcup \{\varphi_{l'}(d_{l'}) \mid (l', l_i) \in F\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined
- $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a **solution** of the equation system iff it is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi_S$

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined
- $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a **solution** of the equation system iff it is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi_S$
- If  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  is a **complete lattice satisfying ACC**, then so is  $(D^n, \sqsubseteq^n)$  (where  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \sqsubseteq^n (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  iff  $d_i \sqsubseteq d'_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ )

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined
- $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a **solution** of the equation system iff it is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi_S$
- If  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  is a **complete lattice satisfying ACC**, then so is  $(D^n, \sqsubseteq^n)$  (where  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \sqsubseteq^n (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  iff  $d_i \sqsubseteq d'_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ )
- Every transfer function  $\varphi_l$  **monotonic** in  $D$   
 $\implies \Phi_S$  **monotonic** in  $D^n$

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined
- $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a **solution** of the equation system iff it is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi_S$
- If  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  is a **complete lattice satisfying ACC**, then so is  $(D^n, \sqsubseteq^n)$  (where  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \sqsubseteq^n (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  iff  $d_i \sqsubseteq d'_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ )
- Every transfer function  $\varphi_l$  **monotonic** in  $D$   
 $\implies \Phi_S$  **monotonic** in  $D^n$
- Thus the **(least) fixpoint is effectively computable** by iteration:

$$\text{fix}(\Phi_S) = \bigsqcup \{\Phi_S^i(\perp_{D^n}) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where  $\perp_{D^n} = (\underbrace{\perp_D, \dots, \perp_D}_{n \text{ times}})$

## Remarks:

- $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  being a **complete lattice** ensures that  $\Phi_S$  is well defined
- $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is a **solution** of the equation system iff it is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi_S$
- If  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  is a **complete lattice satisfying ACC**, then so is  $(D^n, \sqsubseteq^n)$  (where  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \sqsubseteq^n (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  iff  $d_i \sqsubseteq d'_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ )
- Every transfer function  $\varphi_l$  **monotonic** in  $D$   
 $\implies \Phi_S$  **monotonic** in  $D^n$
- Thus the **(least) fixpoint** is **effectively computable** by iteration:

$$\text{fix}(\Phi_S) = \bigsqcup \{\Phi_S^i(\perp_{D^n}) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where  $\perp_{D^n} = (\underbrace{\perp_D, \dots, \perp_D}_{n \text{ times}})$

- If maximal length of chains in  $D$  is  $m$   
 $\implies$  maximal length of chains in  $D^n$  is  $m \cdot n$   
 $\implies$  fixpoint iteration requires at most  $m \cdot n$  steps

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
[y := a*b]2;  
while [y > a+b]3 do  
  [a := a+1]4;  
  [x := a+b]5
```

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
[y := a*b]2;  
while [y > a+b]3 do  
  [a := a+1]4;  
  [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
     [y := a*b]2;  
     while [y > a+b]3 do  
         [a := a+1]4;  
         [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5
0	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
[y := a*b]2;  
while [y > a+b]3 do  
  [a := a+1]4;  
  [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5
0	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$
1	$\emptyset$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
     [y := a*b]2;  
     while [y > a+b]3 do  
         [a := a+1]4;  
         [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5
0	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$
1	$\emptyset$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
     [y := a*b]2;  
     while [y > a+b]3 do  
         [a := a+1]4;  
         [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5
0	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$
1	$\emptyset$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
3	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\emptyset$

# Fixpoint Iteration II

## Example 21.3 (Available Expressions; cf. Example 18.9)

Program:

```
c = [x := a+b]1;  
[y := a*b]2;  
while [y > a+b]3 do  
  [a := a+1]4;  
  [x := a+b]5
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}AE_1 &= \emptyset \\AE_2 &= AE_1 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_3 &= (AE_2 \cup \{a*b\}) \cap (AE_5 \cup \{a+b\}) \\AE_4 &= AE_3 \cup \{a+b\} \\AE_5 &= AE_4 \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}\end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5
0	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$
1	$\emptyset$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$AExp_c$	$\emptyset$
3	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\emptyset$
4	$\emptyset$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$	$\emptyset$

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= LV_2 \setminus \{y\} \\ LV_2 &= LV_3 \setminus \{x\} \\ LV_3 &= LV_4 \cup \{y\} \\ LV_4 &= ((LV_5 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}) \cup ((LV_6 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}) \\ LV_5 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_6 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_7 &= \{x, y, z\} \end{aligned}$$

# Fixpoint Iteration III

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= LV_2 \setminus \{y\} \\ LV_2 &= LV_3 \setminus \{x\} \\ LV_3 &= LV_4 \cup \{y\} \\ LV_4 &= ((LV_5 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}) \cup ((LV_6 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}) \\ LV_5 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_6 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_7 &= \{x, y, z\} \end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	$\emptyset$						

# Fixpoint Iteration III

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= LV_2 \setminus \{y\} \\ LV_2 &= LV_3 \setminus \{x\} \\ LV_3 &= LV_4 \cup \{y\} \\ LV_4 &= ((LV_5 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}) \cup ((LV_6 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}) \\ LV_5 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_6 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_7 &= \{x, y, z\} \end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	$\emptyset$						
1	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$

# Fixpoint Iteration III

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= LV_2 \setminus \{y\} \\ LV_2 &= LV_3 \setminus \{x\} \\ LV_3 &= LV_4 \cup \{y\} \\ LV_4 &= ((LV_5 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}) \cup ((LV_6 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}) \\ LV_5 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_6 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_7 &= \{x, y, z\} \end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	$\emptyset$						
1	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$

# Fixpoint Iteration III

## Example 21.4 (Live Variables; cf. Example 19.3)

Program:

```
[x := 2]1; [y := 4]2;  
[x := 1]3;  
if [y > 0]4 then  
  [z := x]5  
else  
  [z := y*y]6;  
[x := z]7
```

Equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= LV_2 \setminus \{y\} \\ LV_2 &= LV_3 \setminus \{x\} \\ LV_3 &= LV_4 \cup \{y\} \\ LV_4 &= ((LV_5 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}) \cup ((LV_6 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}) \\ LV_5 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_6 &= (LV_7 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{z\} \\ LV_7 &= \{x, y, z\} \end{aligned}$$

Fixpoint iteration:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	$\emptyset$						
1	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$
3	$\emptyset$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{y, z\}$	$\{x, y, z\}$

- 1 Repetition: The Dataflow Analysis Framework
- 2 Solving Dataflow Equation Systems
- 3 Uniqueness of Solutions
- 4 Efficient Fixpoint Computation

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ① Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

```
while [x>1]1 do
  [skip]2;
  [x := x+1]3;
  [y := 0]4
```

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{while } [x > 1]^1 \text{ do} & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup (LV_3 \cup \{x\}) \\ [\text{skip}]^2; & LV_2 = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \\ [x := x+1]^3; & LV_3 = LV_4 \setminus \{y\} \\ [y := 0]^4 & LV_4 = \{x, y\} \end{array}$$

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{while } [x > 1]^1 \text{ do} & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup (LV_3 \cup \{x\}) \\ \quad [\text{skip}]^2; & LV_2 = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \\ \quad [x := x+1]^3; & LV_3 = LV_4 \setminus \{y\} \\ \quad [y := 0]^4 & LV_4 = \{x, y\} \\ & \implies LV_3 = \{x\} \end{array}$$

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{while } [x > 1]^1 \text{ do} & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup (LV_3 \cup \{x\}) \\ \quad [\text{skip}]^2; & LV_2 = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \\ \quad [x := x+1]^3; & LV_3 = LV_4 \setminus \{y\} \\ \quad [y := 0]^4 & LV_4 = \{x, y\} \\ & \implies LV_3 = \{x\} \\ & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup \{x\} \\ & \qquad = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \end{array}$$

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{while } [x > 1]^1 \text{ do} & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup (LV_3 \cup \{x\}) \\ \quad [\text{skip}]^2; & LV_2 = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \\ \quad [x := x+1]^3; & LV_3 = LV_4 \setminus \{y\} \\ \quad [y := 0]^4 & LV_4 = \{x, y\} \\ & \implies LV_3 = \{x\} \\ & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup \{x\} \\ & \quad = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \end{array}$$

$\implies$  **Solutions**:  $LV_1 = LV_2 = (\{x\} \text{ or } \{x, y\})$ ,  $LV_3 = LV_4 = \emptyset$

# Uniqueness of Solutions

Just as in the denotational semantics of `while` loops, solutions of dataflow equation systems are **not unique**.

## Example 21.5

- ➊ Available Expressions: see Exercise 12.1
- ➋ Live Variables: consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{while } [x > 1]^1 \text{ do} & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup (LV_3 \cup \{x\}) \\ \quad [\text{skip}]^2; & LV_2 = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \\ \quad [x := x+1]^3; & LV_3 = LV_4 \setminus \{y\} \\ \quad [y := 0]^4 & LV_4 = \{x, y\} \\ & \implies LV_3 = \{x\} \\ & \implies LV_1 = LV_2 \cup \{x\} \\ & \quad = LV_1 \cup \{x\} \end{array}$$

$\implies$  **Solutions**:  $LV_1 = LV_2 = (\{x\} \text{ or } \{x, y\})$ ,  $LV_3 = LV_4 = \emptyset$

Here: **least** solution  $\{x\}$  (maximal potential for optimization)

- 1 Repetition: The Dataflow Analysis Framework
- 2 Solving Dataflow Equation Systems
- 3 Uniqueness of Solutions
- 4 Efficient Fixpoint Computation

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $A_{l_l}$  in every step

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $Al_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $Al_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $A_{l'}$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $A_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $\text{Al}_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $\text{Al}_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

## Algorithm 21.6 (Worklist algorithm)

**Input:** *dataflow system*  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $\text{AI}_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $\text{AI}_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

## Algorithm 21.6 (Worklist algorithm)

**Input:** *dataflow system*  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$

**Variables:**  $W \in (L \times L)^*$ ,  $\{\text{AI}_l \in D \mid l \in L\}$

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $\text{Al}_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $\text{Al}_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

## Algorithm 21.6 (Worklist algorithm)

**Input:** dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$

**Variables:**  $W \in (L \times L)^*$ ,  $\{\text{Al}_l \in D \mid l \in L\}$

**Procedure:**  $W := \varepsilon$ ; **for**  $(l, l') \in F$  **do**  $W := (l, l') \cdot W$ ; % Initialize  $W$   
**for**  $l \in L$  **do** % Initialize  $\text{Al}$   
    **if**  $l \in E$  **then**  $\text{Al}_l := \iota$  **else**  $\text{Al}_l := \perp_D$ ;

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $\text{Al}_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $\text{Al}_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

## Algorithm 21.6 (Worklist algorithm)

**Input:** dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$

**Variables:**  $W \in (L \times L)^*$ ,  $\{\text{Al}_l \in D \mid l \in L\}$

**Procedure:**  $W := \varepsilon$ ; **for**  $(l, l') \in F$  **do**  $W := (l, l') \cdot W$ ; % Initialize  $W$   
**for**  $l \in L$  **do** % Initialize  $\text{Al}$   
    **if**  $l \in E$  **then**  $\text{Al}_l := \iota$  **else**  $\text{Al}_l := \perp_D$ ;  
**while**  $W \neq \varepsilon$  **do**  
     $(l, l') := \text{head}(W)$ ;  $W := \text{tail}(W)$ ;  
    **if**  $\varphi_l(\text{Al}_l) \not\sqsubseteq \text{Al}_{l'}$  **then** % Fixpoint not yet reached  
         $\text{Al}_{l'} := \text{Al}_{l'} \sqcup \varphi_l(\text{Al}_l)$ ;  
        **for**  $(l', l'') \in F$  **do**  $W := (l', l'') \cdot W$ ;

# A Worklist Algorithm I

**Observation:** fixpoint iteration re-computes every  $\text{Al}_l$  in every step  
⇒ **redundant** if  $\text{Al}_{l'}$  at no  $F$ -predecessor  $l'$  changed  
⇒ optimization by **worklist**

## Algorithm 21.6 (Worklist algorithm)

**Input:** dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$

**Variables:**  $W \in (L \times L)^*$ ,  $\{\text{Al}_l \in D \mid l \in L\}$

**Procedure:**  $W := \varepsilon$ ; **for**  $(l, l') \in F$  **do**  $W := (l, l') \cdot W$ ; % Initialize  $W$   
**for**  $l \in L$  **do** % Initialize  $\text{Al}_l$   
    **if**  $l \in E$  **then**  $\text{Al}_l := \iota$  **else**  $\text{Al}_l := \perp_D$ ;  
**while**  $W \neq \varepsilon$  **do**  
     $(l, l') := \text{head}(W)$ ;  $W := \text{tail}(W)$ ;  
    **if**  $\varphi_l(\text{Al}_l) \not\sqsubseteq \text{Al}_{l'}$  **then** % Fixpoint not yet reached  
         $\text{Al}_{l'} := \text{Al}_{l'} \sqcup \varphi_l(\text{Al}_l)$ ;  
        **for**  $(l', l'') \in F$  **do**  $W := (l', l'') \cdot W$ ;

**Output:**  $\{\text{Al}_l \mid l \in L\}$

# A Worklist Algorithm II

## Example 21.7 (Worklist algorithm)

Available Expression analysis for  $c = [x := a+b]^1;$   
 $[y := a*b]^2;$   
 $\text{while } [y > a+b]^3 \text{ do}$   
 $[a := a+1]^4;$   
 $[x := a+b]^5$

(cf. Examples 18.9 and 21.3)

Transfer functions:  $\varphi_1(A) = A \cup \{a+b\}$

$\varphi_2(A) = A \cup \{a*b\}$

$\varphi_3(A) = A \cup \{a+b\}$

$\varphi_4(A) = A \setminus \{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$

$\varphi_5(A) = A \cup \{a+b\}$

Computation protocol: on the board

Properties of the algorithm:

Theorem 21.8 (Correctness of worklist algorithm)

*Given a dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ , Algorithm 21.6 always terminates and computes  $\text{fix}(\Phi_S)$ .*

Properties of the algorithm:

Theorem 21.8 (Correctness of worklist algorithm)

*Given a dataflow system  $S = (L, E, F, (D, \sqsubseteq), \iota, \varphi)$ , Algorithm 21.6 always terminates and computes  $\text{fix}(\Phi_S)$ .*

Proof.

see [Nielson/Nielson/Hankin 2005, p. 75 ff]

