

# Semantics and Verification of Software

## Lecture 6: Denotational Semantics of WHILE I (Fixpoint Semantics of while Loop)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)

RWTH Aachen University

[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw10/>

Summer Semester 2010

- 1 Repetition: Operational and Denotational Semantics
- 2 Fixpoint Semantics of while Loop
- 3 Characterization of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$

# Functional of the Operational Semantics

The determinism of the execution relation (Theorem 3.4) justifies the following definition:

## Definition (Operational functional)

The **functional of the operational semantics**,

$$\mathfrak{O}[\![\cdot]\!]: Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma),$$

assigns to every statement  $c \in Cmd$  a partial state transformation  $\mathfrak{O}[\![c]\!]: \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ , which is defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{O}[\![c]\!]\sigma := \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \text{ for some } \sigma' \in \Sigma \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Remark:**  $\mathfrak{O}[\![c]\!]\sigma$  can indeed be undefined  
(consider e.g.  $c = \text{while true do skip}$ ; see Corollary 3.3)

## Definition (Operational equivalence)

Two statements  $c_1, c_2 \in Cmd$  are called **(operationally) equivalent** (notation:  $c_1 \sim c_2$ ) if

$$\mathfrak{O}[\![c_1]\!] = \mathfrak{O}[\![c_2]\!].$$

Thus:

- $c_1 \sim c_2$  iff  $\mathfrak{O}[\![c_1]\!]\sigma = \mathfrak{O}[\![c_2]\!]\sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$
- In particular,  $\mathfrak{O}[\![c_1]\!]\sigma$  is undefined iff  $\mathfrak{O}[\![c_2]\!]\sigma$  is undefined

# “Unwinding” of Loops

Simple application of statement equivalence: test of execution condition in a `while` loop can be represented by an `if` statement

## Lemma

For every  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$ ,

`while b do c`  $\sim$  `if b then (c;while b do c) else skip`.

## Proof.

on the board



Again: value of an expression determined by current state

Definition (Denotational semantics of arithmetic expressions)

The (denotational) semantic functional for arithmetic expressions,

$$\mathfrak{A}[\![\cdot]\!]: AExp \rightarrow (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{A}[\![z]\!]\sigma := z & \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 + a_2]\!]\sigma := \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma + \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma \\ \mathfrak{A}[\![x]\!]\sigma := \sigma(x) & \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 - a_2]\!]\sigma := \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma - \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma \\ & \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 * a_2]\!]\sigma := \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma * \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma \end{array}$$

# Semantics of Boolean Expressions

Definition (Denotational semantics of Boolean expressions)

The (denotational) semantic functional for Boolean expressions,

$$\mathfrak{B}[\cdot] : BExp \rightarrow (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[t]\sigma &:= t \\ \mathfrak{B}[a_1 = a_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{A}[a_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{A}[a_2]\sigma \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[a_1 > a_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{A}[a_1]\sigma > \mathfrak{A}[a_2]\sigma \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[\neg b]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b]\sigma = \text{false} \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[b_1 \wedge b_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{B}[b_2]\sigma = \text{true} \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[b_1 \vee b_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{false} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{B}[b_2]\sigma = \text{false} \\ \text{true} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

- Now: semantic functional

$$\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$$

- Same type as operational functional

$$\mathfrak{O}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$$

(in fact, both will turn out to be the **same**

⇒ **equivalence** of operational and denotational semantics)

- Inductive definition employs auxiliary functions:

- identity on states:  $\text{id}_\Sigma : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma : \sigma \mapsto \sigma$

- (strict) composition of partial state transformations:

$$\circ : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \times (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$$

where, for every  $f, g : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,

$$(g \circ f)(\sigma) := \begin{cases} g(f(\sigma)) & \text{if } f(\sigma) \text{ defined} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- semantic conditional:**

$$\text{cond} : (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \times (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \times (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$$

where, for every  $p : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ ,  $f, g : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ , and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\text{cond}(p, f, g)(\sigma) := \begin{cases} f(\sigma) & \text{if } p(\sigma) = \text{true} \\ g(\sigma) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Definition (Denotational semantics of statements)

The (denotational) semantic functional for statements,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}] &:= \text{id}_\Sigma \\ \mathfrak{C}[x := a]\sigma &:= \sigma[x \mapsto \mathfrak{A}[a]\sigma] \\ \mathfrak{C}[c_1; c_2] &:= \mathfrak{C}[c_2] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c_1] \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2] &:= \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c_1], \mathfrak{C}[c_2]) \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &:= \text{fix}(\Phi)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$

## Remarks:

- Definition of  $\mathfrak{C}[c]$  given by **induction on syntactic structure** of  $c \in Cmd$ 
  - in particular,  $\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$  only refers to  $\mathfrak{B}[b]$  and  $\mathfrak{C}[c]$  (and not to  $\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$  again)
  - note difference to  $\mathfrak{O}[c]$ :

$$(\text{wh-t}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

- In  $\mathfrak{C}[c_1 ; c_2] := \mathfrak{C}[c_2] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c_1]$ , function composition  $\circ$  has to be **strict** since non-termination of  $c_1$  implies non-termination of  $c_1 ; c_2$  (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{C}[c_1]\sigma = \text{undefined} \implies \mathfrak{C}[c_1 ; c_2]\sigma = \text{undefined}$ )
- In  $\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] := \text{fix}(\Phi)$ , fix denotes a fixpoint operator (which remains to be defined)  
 $\implies$  **“fixpoint semantics”**

**But:** why **fixpoints**?

- 1 Repetition: Operational and Denotational Semantics
- 2 Fixpoint Semantics of `while` Loop
- 3 Characterization of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$   
(cf. Lemma 5.1)

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$   
(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$   
(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \\ \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \end{aligned}$$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$$

(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] & \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \\ & \stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c], \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}]) \end{aligned}$$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$$

(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c], \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_{\Sigma})\end{aligned}$$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$$

(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c], \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)\end{aligned}$$

- Abbreviating  $f := \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$  this yields:

$$f = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$$

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$$

(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c], \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)\end{aligned}$$

- Abbreviating  $f := \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$  this yields:  
$$f = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$$
- Hence  $f$  must be a **solution** of this recursive equation

# Why Fixpoints?

- Goal: preserve **validity of equivalence**

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}]$$

(cf. Lemma 5.1)

- Using the known parts of Def. 5.4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c], \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.4}}{=} \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)\end{aligned}$$

- Abbreviating  $f := \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c]$  this yields:

$$f = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$$

- Hence  $f$  must be a **solution** of this recursive equation
- In other words:  $f$  must be a **fixpoint** of the mapping

$$\Phi : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$$

(since the equation can be stated as  $f = \Phi(f)$ )

**But:** fixpoint property not sufficient to obtain a well-defined semantics

**Existence:** there does not need to exist any fixpoint. Examples:

①  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n + 1$  has no fixpoint

②  $\Phi_1 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } f = g_2 \\ g_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
(where  $g_1 \neq g_2$ ) has no fixpoint

**But:** fixpoint property not sufficient to obtain a well-defined semantics

**Existence:** there does not need to exist any fixpoint. Examples:

①  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n + 1$  has no fixpoint

②  $\Phi_1 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } f = g_2 \\ g_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
(where  $g_1 \neq g_2$ ) has no fixpoint

**Solution:** in our setting, fixpoints always exist

**But:** fixpoint property not sufficient to obtain a well-defined semantics

**Existence:** there does not need to exist any fixpoint. Examples:

①  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n + 1$  has no fixpoint

②  $\Phi_1 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } f = g_2 \\ g_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
(where  $g_1 \neq g_2$ ) has no fixpoint

**Solution:** in our setting, fixpoints always exist

**Uniqueness:** there might exist several fixpoints. Examples:

①  $\phi_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n^3$  has fixpoints  $\{0, 1\}$

② every state transformation  $f$  is a fixpoint of  
 $\Phi_2 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto f$

**But:** fixpoint property not sufficient to obtain a well-defined semantics

**Existence:** there does not need to exist any fixpoint. Examples:

①  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n + 1$  has no fixpoint

②  $\Phi_1 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } f = g_2 \\ g_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
(where  $g_1 \neq g_2$ ) has no fixpoint

**Solution:** in our setting, fixpoints always exist

**Uniqueness:** there might exist several fixpoints. Examples:

①  $\phi_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n \mapsto n^3$  has fixpoints  $\{0, 1\}$

② every state transformation  $f$  is a fixpoint of  
 $\Phi_2 : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto f$

**Solution:** uniqueness guaranteed by choosing a special fixpoint

- 1 Repetition: Operational and Denotational Semantics
- 2 Fixpoint Semantics of `while` Loop
- 3 Characterization of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$

- Let  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$

- Let  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$
- Let  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$

- Let  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$
- Let  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$
- Let  $f_0 : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  be a fixpoint of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$

- Let  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$
- Let  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$
- Let  $f_0 : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  be a fixpoint of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$
- Given some initial state  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , we will distinguish the following cases:
  - ① loop **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$  terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )
  - ② body  $c$  diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
(since it contains a non-terminating **while** statement)
  - ③ loop **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$  itself diverges

# Case 1: Termination of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

# Case 1: Termination of Loop

- Loop **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$  terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \text{false} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n$$

# Case 1: Termination of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \text{false} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n$$

- Now the definition  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!], \text{id}_\Sigma)$

implies, for every  $0 \leq i < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f_0)(\sigma_i) &= (f_0 \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!])(\sigma_i) && \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \text{true} \\ &= f_0(\sigma_{i+1}) && \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi(f_0)(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n \quad \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_n = \text{false}$$

# Case 1: Termination of Loop

- Loop **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$  terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \text{false} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n$$

- Now the definition  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!], \text{id}_\Sigma)$

implies, for every  $0 \leq i < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f_0)(\sigma_i) &= (f_0 \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!])(\sigma_i) && \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \text{true} \\ &= f_0(\sigma_{i+1}) && \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi(f_0)(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n \quad \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_n = \text{false}$$

- Since  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  it follows that

$$f_0(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} f_0(\sigma_{i+1}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \sigma_n & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_1) = \dots f_0(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n$$

# Case 1: Termination of Loop

- Loop **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$  terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \text{false} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n$$

- Now the definition  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!], \text{id}_\Sigma)$

implies, for every  $0 \leq i < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f_0)(\sigma_i) &= (f_0 \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!])(\sigma_i) && \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \text{true} \\ &= f_0(\sigma_{i+1}) && \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi(f_0)(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n \quad \text{since } \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_n = \text{false}$$

- Since  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  it follows that

$$f_0(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} f_0(\sigma_{i+1}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ \sigma_n & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_1) = \dots f_0(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n$$

⇒ All fixpoints  $f_0$  coincide on  $\sigma_0$ !

## Case 2: Divergence of Body

- Body  $c$  diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
(since it contains a non-terminating while statement)

## Case 2: Divergence of Body

- Body  $c$  diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
(since it contains a non-terminating `while` statement)
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i = \text{true} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n \text{ and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_{i+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}$$

## Case 2: Divergence of Body

- Body  $c$  diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
(since it contains a non-terminating `while` statement)
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i &= \text{true} && \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{i+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

- Just as in the previous case (setting  $\sigma_n := \text{undefined}$ ) it follows that

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$$

## Case 2: Divergence of Body

- Body  $c$  diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
(since it contains a non-terminating `while` statement)
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!]\sigma_i &= \text{true} && \text{for every } 0 \leq i < n \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!]\sigma_i &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{i+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

- Just as in the previous case (setting  $\sigma_n := \text{undefined}$ ) it follows that

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$$

⇒ Again all fixpoints  $f_0$  coincide on  $\sigma_0$ !

## Case 3: Divergence of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` diverges

## Case 3: Divergence of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` diverges
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!] \sigma_i &= \text{true} & \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!] \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

## Case 3: Divergence of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` diverges
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!] \sigma_i &= \text{true} & \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!] \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

- Here only derivable:

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i) \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

## Case 3: Divergence of Loop

- Loop `while b do c` diverges
- Formally: there exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!] \sigma_i &= \text{true} & \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!] \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

- Here only derivable:

$$f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i) \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

⇒ Value of  $f_0(\sigma_0)$  not determined!

For  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  and initial state  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , case distinction yields:

- ① Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \sigma_n$
- ② Body `c` diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$
- ③ Loop `while b do c` diverges  
 $\implies$  no condition on  $f_0$  (only  $f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ )

# Summary

For  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  and initial state  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , case distinction yields:

- ① Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \sigma_n$
- ② Body `c` diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$
- ③ Loop `while b do c` diverges  
 $\implies$  no condition on  $f_0$  (only  $f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ )
- Not surprising since, e.g., the loop `while true do skip` yields for every  $f : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ :

$$\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\text{true}], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}], \text{id}_\Sigma) = f$$

# Summary

For  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  and initial state  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , case distinction yields:

- ➊ Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \sigma_n$
- ➋ Body `c` diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$
- ➌ Loop `while b do c` diverges  
 $\implies$  no condition on  $f_0$  (only  $f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ )
- Not surprising since, e.g., the loop `while true do skip` yields for every  $f : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ :

$$\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\text{true}], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}], \text{id}_\Sigma) = f$$

- On the other hand, our operational understanding requires, for every  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while true do skip}]\sigma_0 = \text{undefined}$$

# Summary

For  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$  and initial state  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , case distinction yields:

- ① Loop `while b do c` terminates after  $n$  iterations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \sigma_n$
  - ② Body `c` diverges in the  $n$ th iteration  
 $\implies f_0(\sigma_0) = \text{undefined}$
  - ③ Loop `while b do c` diverges  
 $\implies$  no condition on  $f_0$  (only  $f_0(\sigma_0) = f_0(\sigma_i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ )
- Not surprising since, e.g., the loop `while true do skip` yields for every  $f : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ :

$$\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\text{true}], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}], \text{id}_\Sigma) = f$$

- On the other hand, our operational understanding requires, for every  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\text{while true do skip}]\sigma_0 = \text{undefined}$$

## Conclusion

$\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is the **least defined fixpoint** of  $\Phi$ .

To use fixpoint theory, the notion of “least defined” has to be made precise.

- Given  $f, g : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ , let

$$f \sqsubseteq g \iff \text{for every } \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma : f(\sigma) = \sigma' \implies g(\sigma) = \sigma'$$

( $g$  is “at least as defined” as  $f$ )

To use fixpoint theory, the notion of “least defined” has to be made precise.

- Given  $f, g : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ , let

$$f \sqsubseteq g \iff \text{for every } \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma : f(\sigma) = \sigma' \implies g(\sigma) = \sigma'$$

( $g$  is “at least as defined” as  $f$ )

- Equivalent to requiring

$$\text{graph}(f) \subseteq \text{graph}(g)$$

where

$$\text{graph}(h) := \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma' = h(\sigma) \text{ defined}\} \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma$$

for every  $h : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$

## Example 6.1

Let  $x \in \text{Var}$  be fixed, and let  $f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned}f_0(\sigma) &:= \text{undefined} \\f_1(\sigma) &:= \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(x) \text{ even} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\f_2(\sigma) &:= \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(x) \text{ odd} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\f_3(\sigma) &:= \sigma\end{aligned}$$

## Example 6.1

Let  $x \in \text{Var}$  be fixed, and let  $f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned}f_0(\sigma) &:= \text{undefined} \\f_1(\sigma) &:= \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(x) \text{ even} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\f_2(\sigma) &:= \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(x) \text{ odd} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\f_3(\sigma) &:= \sigma\end{aligned}$$

This implies  $f_0 \sqsubseteq f_1 \sqsubseteq f_3$ ,  $f_0 \sqsubseteq f_2 \sqsubseteq f_3$ ,  $f_1 \not\sqsubseteq f_2$ , and  $f_2 \not\sqsubseteq f_1$

# Characterization of $\text{fix}(\Phi)$ II

Now  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  can be characterized by:

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi(\text{fix}(\Phi)) = \text{fix}(\Phi)$$

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is **minimal** with respect to  $\sqsubseteq$ , i.e., for every  $f_0 : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$ ,

$$\text{fix}(\Phi) \sqsubseteq f_0$$

Now  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  can be characterized by:

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi(\text{fix}(\Phi)) = \text{fix}(\Phi)$$

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is **minimal** with respect to  $\sqsubseteq$ , i.e., for every  $f_0 : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$ ,

$$\text{fix}(\Phi) \sqsubseteq f_0$$

## Example 6.2

For `while true do skip` we obtain for every  $f : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ :

$$\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\text{true}], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}], \text{id}_\Sigma) = f$$

Now  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  can be characterized by:

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is a **fixpoint** of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi(\text{fix}(\Phi)) = \text{fix}(\Phi)$$

- $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  is **minimal** with respect to  $\sqsubseteq$ , i.e., for every  $f_0 : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $\Phi(f_0) = f_0$ ,

$$\text{fix}(\Phi) \sqsubseteq f_0$$

## Example 6.2

For `while true do skip` we obtain for every  $f : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ :

$$\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\text{true}], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}], \text{id}_\Sigma) = f$$

$\implies \text{fix}(\Phi) = f_\emptyset$  where  $f_\emptyset(\sigma) := \text{undefined}$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$   
(that is,  $\text{graph}(f_\emptyset) = \emptyset$ )

## Goals:

- Prove **existence** of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  for  $\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$
- Show how it can be "**computed**" (more exactly: approximated)

## Goals:

- Prove **existence** of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  for  $\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!], \text{id}_\Sigma)$
- Show how it can be "**computed**" (more exactly: approximated)

## Sufficient conditions:

on domain  $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ : **chain-complete partial order**

on function  $\Phi$ : **continuity**