

# Semantics and Verification of Software

## Lecture 20: Nondeterminism and Parallelism III (Calculus of Communicating Systems)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)



[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw11/>

Winter Semester 2011/12

- 1 Repetition: Communicating Sequential Processes
- 2 Calculus of Communicating Systems
- 3 Semantics of CCS
- 4 Equivalence of CCS Processes
- 5 Strong Bisimulation

## Definition (Syntax of CSP)

The syntax of CSP is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a &::= z \mid x \mid a_1+a_2 \mid a_1-a_2 \mid a_1*a_2 \in AExp \\ b &::= t \mid a_1=a_2 \mid a_1>a_2 \mid \neg b \mid b_1 \wedge b_2 \mid b_1 \vee b_2 \in BExp \\ c &::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid \alpha?x \mid \alpha!a \\ &\quad c_1; c_2 \mid \text{if } gc \text{ fi} \mid \text{do } gc \text{ od} \mid c_1 \parallel c_2 \in Cmd \\ gc &::= b \rightarrow c \mid b \wedge \alpha?x \rightarrow c \mid b \wedge \alpha!a \rightarrow c \mid gc_1 \square gc_2 \in GCmd \end{aligned}$$

- In  $c_1 \parallel c_2$ , statements  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  must **not use common variables** (only local store)
- **Guarded command**  $gc_1 \square gc_2$  represents an **alternative**
- In  $b \rightarrow c$ ,  $b$  acts as a **guard** that enables the execution of  $c$  only if evaluated to **true**
- $b \wedge \alpha?x \rightarrow c$  and  $b \wedge \alpha!a \rightarrow c$  additionally require the respective I/O operation to be enabled
- If none of its alternatives is enabled, a guarded command  $gc$  **fails** (state **fail**)
- **if** nondeterministically picks an enabled alternative
- A **do** loop is iterated until its body fails

## Example

(on the board)

①  $\text{do } (\text{true} \wedge \alpha?x \rightarrow \beta!x) \text{ od}$

describes a process that repeatedly receives a value along  $\alpha$  and forwards it along  $\beta$  (i.e., a **one-place buffer**)

②  $\text{do true} \wedge \alpha?x \rightarrow \beta!x \text{ od} \parallel \text{do true} \wedge \beta?y \rightarrow \gamma!y \text{ od}$

specifies a **two-place buffer** that receives along  $\alpha$  and sends along  $\gamma$  (using  $\beta$  for internal communication)

③ Nondeterministic choice between input channels:

①  $\text{if } (\text{true} \wedge \alpha?x \rightarrow c_1 \square \text{true} \wedge \beta?y \rightarrow c_2) \text{ fi}$

②  $\text{if } (\text{true} \rightarrow (\alpha?x; c_1) \square \text{true} \rightarrow (\beta?y; c_2)) \text{ fi}$

Expected: progress whenever environment provides data on  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$

① correct

② incorrect (can **deadlock**)

1 Repetition: Communicating Sequential Processes

2 Calculus of Communicating Systems

3 Semantics of CCS

4 Equivalence of CCS Processes

5 Strong Bisimulation

## History:

- Robin Milner: *A Calculus of Communicating Systems*  
LNCS 92, Springer, 1980
- Robin Milner: *Communication and Concurrency*  
Prentice-Hall, 1989
- Robin Milner: *Communicating and Mobile Systems: the  $\pi$ -calculus*  
Cambridge University Press, 1999

## History:

- Robin Milner: *A Calculus of Communicating Systems*  
LNCS 92, Springer, 1980
- Robin Milner: *Communication and Concurrency*  
Prentice-Hall, 1989
- Robin Milner: *Communicating and Mobile Systems: the  $\pi$ -calculus*  
Cambridge University Press, 1999

**Approach:** describing parallelism on a **simple and abstract level**, using only a few basic primitives

- no explicit storage (variables)
- no explicit representation of values (numbers, Booleans, ...)

⇒ parallel system reduced to **communication potential**

## Definition 20.1 (Syntax of CCS)

- Let  $N$  be a set of (action) names.

## Definition 20.1 (Syntax of CCS)

- Let  $N$  be a set of (action) names.
- $\bar{N} := \{\bar{a} \mid a \in N\}$  denotes the set of co-names.

## Definition 20.1 (Syntax of CCS)

- Let  $N$  be a set of **(action) names**.
- $\bar{N} := \{\bar{a} \mid a \in N\}$  denotes the set of **co-names**.
- $Act := N \cup \bar{N} \cup \{\tau\}$  is the set of **actions** where  $\tau$  denotes the **silent** (or: **unobservable**) action.

## Definition 20.1 (Syntax of CCS)

- Let  $N$  be a set of **(action) names**.
- $\bar{N} := \{\bar{a} \mid a \in N\}$  denotes the set of **co-names**.
- $Act := N \cup \bar{N} \cup \{\tau\}$  is the set of **actions** where  $\tau$  denotes the **silent** (or: **unobservable**) action.
- Let  $Pid$  be a set of **process identifiers**.

## Definition 20.1 (Syntax of CCS)

- Let  $N$  be a set of **(action) names**.
- $\bar{N} := \{\bar{a} \mid a \in N\}$  denotes the set of **co-names**.
- $Act := N \cup \bar{N} \cup \{\tau\}$  is the set of **actions** where  $\tau$  denotes the **silent** (or: **unobservable**) action.
- Let  $Pid$  be a set of **process identifiers**.
- The set  $Prc$  of **process expressions** is defined by the following syntax:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P ::= \text{nil} & \text{(inaction)} \\ | \quad \alpha.P & \text{(prefixing)} \\ | \quad P_1 + P_2 & \text{(choice)} \\ | \quad P_1 \parallel P_2 & \text{(parallel composition)} \\ | \quad \text{new } a \, P & \text{(restriction)} \\ | \quad A(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \text{(process call)} \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha \in Act$ ,  $a, a_i \in N$ , and  $A \in Pid$ .

## Definition 20.1 (continued)

- A **(recursive) process definition** is an equation system of the form

$$(A_i(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in_i}) = P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k)$$

where  $k \geq 1$ ,  $A_i \in \text{Pid}$  (pairwise different),  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_{ij} \in N$  ( $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in_i}$  pairwise different), and  $P_i \in \text{Prc}$  (with process identifiers from  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ ).

## Definition 20.1 (continued)

- A **(recursive) process definition** is an equation system of the form

$$(A_i(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in_i}) = P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k)$$

where  $k \geq 1$ ,  $A_i \in \text{Pid}$  (pairwise different),  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_{ij} \in N$  ( $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in_i}$  pairwise different), and  $P_i \in \text{Prc}$  (with process identifiers from  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ ).

## Notational Conventions:

- $\bar{a}$  means  $a$
- $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  sometimes written as  $A(\vec{a})$ ,  $A()$  as  $A$
- prefixing and restriction binds stronger than composition, composition binds stronger than choice:

$$\text{new } a \, P + b.Q \parallel R \quad \text{means} \quad (\text{new } a \, P) + ((b.Q) \parallel R)$$

- **nil** is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .
- An action  $a \in N$  ( $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$ ) is interpreted as an **input** (**output**, resp.) operation. Both are complementary: if executed in parallel (i.e., in  $P_1 \parallel P_2$ ), they are merged into a  $\tau$ -action.

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .
- An action  $a \in N$  ( $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$ ) is interpreted as an **input** (**output**, resp.) operation. Both are complementary: if executed in parallel (i.e., in  $P_1 \parallel P_2$ ), they are merged into a  $\tau$ -action.
- $P_1 + P_2$  represents the **nondeterministic choice** between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .
- An action  $a \in N$  ( $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$ ) is interpreted as an **input** (**output**, resp.) operation. Both are complementary: if executed in parallel (i.e., in  $P_1 \parallel P_2$ ), they are merged into a  $\tau$ -action.
- $P_1 + P_2$  represents the **nondeterministic choice** between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- $P_1 \parallel P_2$  denotes the **parallel execution** of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , involving **interleaving** or **communication**.

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .
- An action  $a \in N$  ( $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$ ) is interpreted as an **input** (**output**, resp.) operation. Both are complementary: if executed in parallel (i.e., in  $P_1 \parallel P_2$ ), they are merged into a  $\tau$ -action.
- $P_1 + P_2$  represents the **nondeterministic choice** between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- $P_1 \parallel P_2$  denotes the **parallel execution** of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , involving interleaving or **communication**.
- The **restriction**  $\text{new } a.P$  declares  $a$  as a local name which is only known within  $P$ .

# Meaning of CCS Constructs

- $\text{nil}$  is an **inactive process** that can do nothing.
- $\alpha.P$  can execute  $\alpha$  and then behaves as  $P$ .
- An action  $a \in N$  ( $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$ ) is interpreted as an **input** (**output**, resp.) operation. Both are complementary: if executed in parallel (i.e., in  $P_1 \parallel P_2$ ), they are merged into a  $\tau$ -action.
- $P_1 + P_2$  represents the **nondeterministic choice** between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- $P_1 \parallel P_2$  denotes the **parallel execution** of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , involving interleaving or **communication**.
- The **restriction**  $\text{new } a.P$  declares  $a$  as a local name which is only known within  $P$ .
- The behavior of a **process call**  $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is defined by the right-hand side of the corresponding equation where  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  replace the formal name parameters.

## Example 20.2

(on the board)

- ① One-place buffer (see Example 19.1(1) for a CSP implementation)
- ② Two-place buffer
- ③ Parallel specification of two-place buffer  
(see Example 19.1(2) for a CSP implementation)

- 1 Repetition: Communicating Sequential Processes
- 2 Calculus of Communicating Systems
- 3 Semantics of CCS
- 4 Equivalence of CCS Processes
- 5 Strong Bisimulation

## Definition 20.3 (Semantics of CCS)

A process definition  $(A_i(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in_i}) = P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k)$  determines the **labeled transition system (LTS)**  $(Prc, Act, \rightarrow)$  whose transitions can be inferred from the following rules ( $P, P', Q, Q' \in Prc$ ,  $\alpha \in Act$ ,  $\lambda \in N \cup \bar{N}$ ,  $a, b \in N$ ,  $A \in Pid$ ):

$$(Act) \frac{}{\alpha.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P}$$

$$(Sum_1) \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}$$

$$(Par_1) \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \parallel Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \parallel Q}$$

$$(New) \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \ (\alpha \notin \{a, \bar{a}\})}{\text{new } a \ P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{new } a \ P'}$$

$$(Com) \frac{P \xrightarrow{\lambda} P' \ Q \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}} Q'}{P \parallel Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \parallel Q'}$$

$$(Sum_2) \frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}$$

$$(Par_2) \frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P \parallel Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \parallel Q'}$$

$$(Call) \frac{P[\vec{a} \mapsto \vec{b}] \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{A(\vec{b}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \text{ if } A(\vec{a}) = P$$

(Here  $P[\vec{a} \mapsto \vec{b}]$  denotes the replacement of every  $a_i$  by  $b_i$  in  $P$ .)

## Example 20.4

(on the board)

- ① One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in.\overline{out}.B(in, out)$$

## Example 20.4

(on the board)

- ① One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in.\overline{out}.B(in, out)$$

- ② Sequential two-place buffer:

$$B_0(in, out) = in.B_1(in, out)$$

$$B_1(in, out) = \overline{out}.B_0(in, out) + in.B_2(in, out)$$

$$B_2(in, out) = \overline{out}.B_1(in, out)$$

## Example 20.4

(on the board)

① One-place buffer:

$$B(in, out) = in.\overline{out}.B(in, out)$$

② Sequential two-place buffer:

$$B_0(in, out) = in.B_1(in, out)$$

$$B_1(in, out) = \overline{out}.B_0(in, out) + in.B_2(in, out)$$

$$B_2(in, out) = \overline{out}.B_1(in, out)$$

③ Parallel two-place buffer:

$$B_{\parallel}(in, out) = \text{new com}(B(in, com) \parallel B(com, out))$$

$$B(in, out) = in.\overline{out}.B(in, out)$$

## Example 20.4 (continued)

Complete LTS of parallel two-place buffer ( $\equiv LTS(B_{\parallel}(in, out))$ ):

