

# Semantics and Verification of Software

## Lecture 8: Denotational Semantics of WHILE IV (Equivalence with Operational Semantics)

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)



[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw11/>

Winter Semester 2011/12

- 1 Repetition: Denotational Semantics of WHILE
- 2 Another Example
- 3 Summary: Denotational Semantics
- 4 Equivalence of Operational and Denotational Semantics

## Definition (Denotational semantics of statements)

The (denotational) semantic functional for statements,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}] &:= \text{id}_\Sigma \\ \mathfrak{C}[x := a]\sigma &:= \sigma[x \mapsto \mathfrak{A}[a]\sigma] \\ \mathfrak{C}[c_1; c_2] &:= \mathfrak{C}[c_2] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c_1] \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2] &:= \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c_1], \mathfrak{C}[c_2]) \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &:= \text{fix}(\Phi)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) : f \mapsto \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$

## Goals:

- Prove **existence** of  $\text{fix}(\Phi)$  for  $\Phi(f) = \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!], \text{id}_\Sigma)$
- Show how it can be “computed” (more exactly: **approximated**)

## Sufficient conditions:

on domain  $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ : **chain-complete partial order**

on function  $\Phi$ : **continuity**

## Definition (Monotonicity)

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  and  $(D', \sqsubseteq')$  be partial orders, and let  $F : D \rightarrow D'$ .  $F$  is called **monotonic** (w.r.t.  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  and  $(D', \sqsubseteq')$ ) if, for every  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ ,

$$d_1 \sqsubseteq d_2 \implies F(d_1) \sqsubseteq' F(d_2).$$

**Interpretation:** monotonic functions “preserve information”

## Lemma

Let  $b \in BExp$ ,  $c \in Cmd$ , and  $\Phi : (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$  with  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is monotonic w.r.t.  $(\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma, \sqsubseteq)$ .

## Proof.

on the board



# Continuity

A function  $F$  is continuous if the order of applying  $F$  and taking LUBs can be reversed:

## Definition (Continuity)

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  and  $(D', \sqsubseteq')$  be CCPs and  $F : D \rightarrow D'$  monotonic. Then  $F$  is called **continuous** (w.r.t.  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  and  $(D', \sqsubseteq')$ ) if, for every non-empty chain  $S \subseteq D$ ,

$$F\left(\bigsqcup S\right) = \bigsqcup F(S).$$

## Lemma

Let  $b \in BExp$ ,  $c \in Cmd$ , and  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}\llbracket b \rrbracket, f \circ \mathfrak{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket, \text{id}_\Sigma)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is continuous w.r.t.  $(\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma, \sqsubseteq)$ .

## Proof.

omitted



Theorem (Fixpoint Theorem by Tarski and Knaster)

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  be a CCPO and  $F : D \rightarrow D$  continuous. Then

$$\text{fix}(F) := \bigsqcup \left\{ F^n \left( \bigsqcup \emptyset \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is the least fixpoint of  $F$  where

$$F^0(d) := d \text{ and } F^{n+1}(d) := F(F^n(d)).$$

Proof.

on the board



# Application to $\text{fix}(\Phi)$

Altogether this completes the definition of  $\mathfrak{C}[\cdot]$ . In particular, for the `while` statement we obtain:

## Corollary

Let  $b \in BExp$ ,  $c \in Cmd$ , and  $\Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma)$ . Then

$$\text{graph}(\text{fix}(\Phi)) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{graph}(\Phi^n(f_\emptyset))$$

## Proof.

### Using

- Lemma 7.4
  - $(\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma, \sqsubseteq)$  CCPo with least element  $f_\emptyset$
  - LUB = union of graphs
- Lemma 7.6 ( $\Phi$  continuous)
- Theorem 7.7 (Fixpoint Theorem)

□

- 1 Repetition: Denotational Semantics of WHILE
- 2 Another Example
- 3 Summary: Denotational Semantics
- 4 Equivalence of Operational and Denotational Semantics

## Example 8.1

- **Domain:**  $(2^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq)$  (CCPO with  $\sqcup S = \bigcup_{M \in S} M$  – see Ex. 6.7)

## Example 8.1

- **Domain:**  $(2^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq)$  (CCPO with  $\sqcup S = \bigcup_{M \in S} M$  – see Ex. 6.7)
- **Function:**  $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}} : N \mapsto N \cup A$  for some fixed  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 
  - $F$  monotonic:  $M \subseteq N \implies F(M) = M \cup A \subseteq N \cup A = F(N)$
  - $F$  continuous:  $F(\sqcup S) = F(\bigcup_{N \in S} N) = \bigcup_{N \in S} N \cup A = \bigcup_{N \in S} (N \cup A) = \bigcup_{N \in S} F(N) = \sqcup F(S)$

## Example 8.1

- **Domain:**  $(2^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq)$  (CCPO with  $\bigsqcup S = \bigcup_{M \in S} M$  – see Ex. 6.7)
- **Function:**  $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}} : N \mapsto N \cup A$  for some fixed  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 
  - $F$  monotonic:  $M \subseteq N \implies F(M) = M \cup A \subseteq N \cup A = F(N)$
  - $F$  continuous:  $F(\bigsqcup S) = F(\bigcup_{N \in S} N) = \bigcup_{N \in S} N \cup A = \bigcup_{N \in S} (N \cup A) = \bigcup_{N \in S} F(N) = \bigsqcup F(S)$
- **Fixpoint iteration:**  $N_n := F^n(\bigsqcup \emptyset)$  where  $\bigsqcup \emptyset = \emptyset$ 
  - $N_0 = \bigsqcup \emptyset = \emptyset$
  - $N_1 = F(N_0) = \emptyset \cup A = A$
  - $N_2 = F(N_1) = A \cup A = A = N_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ $\Rightarrow \text{fix}(F) = A$

## Example 8.1

- **Domain:**  $(2^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq)$  (CCPO with  $\bigsqcup S = \bigcup_{M \in S} M$  – see Ex. 6.7)
- **Function:**  $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}} : N \mapsto N \cup A$  for some fixed  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 
  - $F$  monotonic:  $M \subseteq N \implies F(M) = M \cup A \subseteq N \cup A = F(N)$
  - $F$  continuous:  $F(\bigsqcup S) = F(\bigcup_{N \in S} N) = \bigcup_{N \in S} N \cup A = \bigcup_{N \in S} (N \cup A) = \bigcup_{N \in S} F(N) = \bigsqcup F(S)$
- **Fixpoint iteration:**  $N_n := F^n(\bigsqcup \emptyset)$  where  $\bigsqcup \emptyset = \emptyset$ 
  - $N_0 = \bigsqcup \emptyset = \emptyset$
  - $N_1 = F(N_0) = \emptyset \cup A = A$
  - $N_2 = F(N_1) = A \cup A = A = N_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{fix}(F) = A$
- Alternatively:  $F(N) := N \cap A$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{fix}(F) = \emptyset$

- 1 Repetition: Denotational Semantics of WHILE
- 2 Another Example
- 3 Summary: Denotational Semantics
- 4 Equivalence of Operational and Denotational Semantics

- Semantic model: **partial state transformations** ( $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ )

- Semantic model: **partial state transformations** ( $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ )
- **Compositional definition** of functional  $\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$

- Semantic model: **partial state transformations** ( $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ )
- **Compositional definition** of functional  $\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$
- Capturing the recursive nature of loops by a **fixpoint definition** (for a continuous function on a CCPO)

- Semantic model: **partial state transformations** ( $\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ )
- **Compositional definition** of functional  $\mathfrak{C}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$
- Capturing the recursive nature of loops by a **fixpoint definition** (for a continuous function on a CCPO)
- Approximation by **fixpoint iteration**

- 1 Repetition: Denotational Semantics of WHILE
- 2 Another Example
- 3 Summary: Denotational Semantics
- 4 Equivalence of Operational and Denotational Semantics

**Remember:** in Def. 4.1,  $\mathfrak{D}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$  was given by

$$\mathfrak{D}[c](\sigma) = \sigma' \iff \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

**Remember:** in Def. 4.1,  $\mathfrak{D}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$  was given by

$$\mathfrak{D}[c](\sigma) = \sigma' \iff \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

## Theorem 8.2 (Coincidence Theorem)

For every  $c \in Cmd$ ,

$$\mathfrak{D}[c] = \mathfrak{C}[c],$$

i.e.,  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  iff  $\mathfrak{C}[c](\sigma) = \sigma'$ , and thus  $\mathfrak{D}[\cdot] = \mathfrak{C}[\cdot]$ .

The proof of Theorem 8.2 employs the following auxiliary propositions:

## Lemma 8.3

- ① For every  $a \in AExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \iff \mathfrak{A}[\![a]\!](\sigma) = z.$$

# Equivalence of Semantics II

The proof of Theorem 8.2 employs the following auxiliary propositions:

## Lemma 8.3

- ① For every  $a \in AExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \iff \mathfrak{A}[\![a]\!](\sigma) = z.$$

- ② For every  $b \in BExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{B}$ :

$$\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t \iff \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!](\sigma) = t.$$

# Equivalence of Semantics II

The proof of Theorem 8.2 employs the following auxiliary propositions:

## Lemma 8.3

- ① For every  $a \in AExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z \iff \mathfrak{A}[\![a]\!](\sigma) = z.$$

- ② For every  $b \in BExp$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{B}$ :

$$\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow t \iff \mathfrak{B}[\![b]\!](\sigma) = t.$$

## Proof.

- ① structural induction on  $a$
- ② see Exercise 4.2 (structural induction on  $b$ )



Proof (Theorem 8.2).

We have to show that

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \iff \mathfrak{C}[\![c]\!](\sigma) = \sigma'$$

- $\Rightarrow$  by structural induction over the derivation tree of  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$
- $\Leftarrow$  by structural induction over  $c$  (with a nested complete induction over fixpoint index  $n$ )

(on the board)



# Overview: Operational/Denotational Semantics

## Definition (3.2; Execution relation for statements)

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{skip}) \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \qquad (\text{asgn}) \frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]} \\ (\text{seq}) \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1 ; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \qquad (\text{if-t}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \\ (\text{if-f}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'} \qquad (\text{wh-f}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma} \\ (\text{wh-t}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''} \end{array}$$

## Definition (5.3; Denotational semantics of statements)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}[\text{skip}] &:= \text{id}_\Sigma \\ \mathfrak{C}[x := a]\sigma &:= \sigma[x \mapsto \mathfrak{A}[a]\sigma] \\ \mathfrak{C}[c_1 ; c_2] &:= \mathfrak{C}[c_2] \circ \mathfrak{C}[c_1] \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2] &:= \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], \mathfrak{C}[c_1], \mathfrak{C}[c_2]) \\ \mathfrak{C}[\text{while } b \text{ do } c] &:= \text{fix}(\Phi) \text{ where } \Phi(f) := \text{cond}(\mathfrak{B}[b], f \circ \mathfrak{C}[c], \text{id}_\Sigma) \end{aligned}$$