

# Semantics and Verification of Software

## Lecture 4: Operational vs. Denotational Semantics of WHILE

Thomas Noll

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 2  
(Software Modeling and Verification)



[noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:noll@cs.rwth-aachen.de)

<http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/i2/svsw13/>

Summer Semester 2013

## 1 Recapitulation: Execution of Statements

## 2 Functional of the Operational Semantics

## 3 Summary: Operational Semantics

## 4 The Denotational Approach

## 5 Denotational Semantics of Expressions

# Execution of Statements

## Remember:

$c ::= \text{skip} \mid x := a \mid c_1; c_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \in \text{Cmd}$

## Definition (Execution relation for statements)

For  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ , the **execution relation**  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  is defined by the following rules:

$$(\text{skip}) \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$(\text{asgn}) \frac{\langle a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow z}{\langle x := a, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto z]}$$

$$(\text{seq}) \frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

$$(\text{if-t}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$(\text{if-f}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$(\text{wh-f}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{false}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$(\text{wh-t}) \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{true} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$

This operational semantics is well defined in the following sense:

## Theorem

*The execution relation for statements is **deterministic**, i.e., whenever  $c \in \text{Cmd}$  and  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''$ , then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .*

- How to prove this theorem?
- Idea:
  - employ corresponding result for **expressions** (Lemma 3.6)
  - use **induction on the syntactic structure** of  $c$  ↴
- Instead: **structural induction on derivation trees**

1 Recapitulation: Execution of Statements

2 Functional of the Operational Semantics

3 Summary: Operational Semantics

4 The Denotational Approach

5 Denotational Semantics of Expressions

The determinism of the execution relation (Theorem 3.5) justifies the following definition:

## Definition 4.1 (Operational functional)

The **functional of the operational semantics**,

$$\mathfrak{O}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma),$$

assigns to every statement  $c \in Cmd$  a partial state transformation  $\mathfrak{O}[c] : \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ , which is defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{O}[c]\sigma := \begin{cases} \sigma' & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \text{ for some } \sigma' \in \Sigma \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Remark:**  $\mathfrak{O}[c]\sigma$  can indeed be undefined  
(consider e.g.  $c = \text{while true do skip}$ ; see Corollary 3.4)

# Equivalence of Statements

**Underlying principle:** two (syntactic) objects are considered (semantically) **equivalent** if they have the same “meaning”

- finite automata:  $A_1 \sim A_2$  iff  $L(A_1) = L(A_2)$
- context-free grammars:  $G_1 \sim G_2$  iff  $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$
- Turing machines:  $T_1 \sim T_2$  iff both compute same function

## Definition 4.2 (Operational equivalence)

Two statements  $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Cmd}$  are called **(operationally) equivalent** (notation:  $c_1 \sim c_2$ ) iff

$$\mathfrak{O}[c_1] = \mathfrak{O}[c_2].$$

Thus:

- $c_1 \sim c_2$  iff  $\mathfrak{O}[c_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{O}[c_2]\sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$
- In particular,  $\mathfrak{O}[c_1]\sigma$  is undefined iff  $\mathfrak{O}[c_2]\sigma$  is undefined

Simple application of statement equivalence: test of execution condition in a `while` loop can be represented by an `if` statement

## Lemma 4.3

For every  $b \in BExp$  and  $c \in Cmd$ ,

`while b do c`  $\sim$  `if b then (c;while b do c) else skip.`

## Proof.

on the board



- 1 Recapitulation: Execution of Statements
- 2 Functional of the Operational Semantics
- 3 Summary: Operational Semantics
- 4 The Denotational Approach
- 5 Denotational Semantics of Expressions

- Formalized by **evaluation/execution relations**
- Inductively defined by **derivation trees** using **structural operational rules**
- Enables proofs about operational behavior of programs using **structural induction** on derivation trees
- **Semantic functional** characterizes complete input/output behavior of programs

1 Recapitulation: Execution of Statements

2 Functional of the Operational Semantics

3 Summary: Operational Semantics

4 The Denotational Approach

5 Denotational Semantics of Expressions

- Primary aspect of a program: its “effect”, i.e., **input/output behavior**
- In operational semantics: **indirect** definition of semantic functional  
 $\mathfrak{D}[\cdot] : Cmd \rightarrow (\Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$  by execution relation
- Now: **abstract** from operational details
- **Denotational semantics**: direct definition of program effect by induction on its syntactic structure

- 1 Recapitulation: Execution of Statements
- 2 Functional of the Operational Semantics
- 3 Summary: Operational Semantics
- 4 The Denotational Approach
- 5 Denotational Semantics of Expressions

Again: value of an expression determined by current state

Definition 4.4 (Denotational semantics of arithmetic expressions)

The (denotational) semantic functional for arithmetic expressions,

$$\mathfrak{A}[\![\cdot]\!]: AExp \rightarrow (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}[\![z]\!]\sigma &:= z \\ \mathfrak{A}[\![x]\!]\sigma &:= \sigma(x)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 + a_2]\!]\sigma &:= \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma + \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma \\ \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 - a_2]\!]\sigma &:= \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma - \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma \\ \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1 * a_2]\!]\sigma &:= \mathfrak{A}[\![a_1]\!]\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{A}[\![a_2]\!]\sigma\end{aligned}$$

# Semantics of Boolean Expressions

Definition 4.5 (Denotational semantics of Boolean expressions)

The (denotational) semantic functional for Boolean expressions,

$$\mathfrak{B}[\cdot] : BExp \rightarrow (\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}),$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}[t]\sigma &:= t \\ \mathfrak{B}[a_1 = a_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{A}[a_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{A}[a_2]\sigma \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[a_1 > a_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{A}[a_1]\sigma > \mathfrak{A}[a_2]\sigma \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[\neg b]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b]\sigma = \text{false} \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[b_1 \wedge b_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{B}[b_2]\sigma = \text{true} \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{B}[b_1 \vee b_2]\sigma &:= \begin{cases} \text{false} & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}[b_1]\sigma = \mathfrak{B}[b_2]\sigma = \text{false} \\ \text{true} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$